



Update on actions arising from the 10th Session of the IPHC Scientific Advisory Board (SRB10)

PREPARED BY: IPHC SECRETARIAT (27 AUGUST 2017)

PURPOSE

To provide the Scientific Advisory Board (SRB) with an opportunity to consider the progress made during the intersessional period, on the recommendations/requests arising from the SRB10.

BACKGROUND

At the SRB10, the members recommended/requested a series of actions to be taken by the IPHC Secretariat staff, as detailed in the SRB10 meeting report (IPHC-2017-SRB10-R) available from the IPHC website, and as provided in [Appendix A](#).

DISCUSSION

During the 11th Session of the SRB (SRB10), attempts will be made to ensure that any recommendations/requests for action are carefully constructed so that each contains the following elements:

- 1) a specific action to be undertaken (deliverable);
- 2) clear responsibility for the action to be undertaken (such as the IPHC Staff or SRB officers);
- 3) a desired time frame for delivery of the action (such as by the next session of the SRB or by some other specified date).

RECOMMENDATION/S

That the SRB:

- 1) **NOTE** paper IPHC-2017-SRB11-03, which provided the SRB with an opportunity to consider the progress made during the inter-sessional period, in relation to the consolidated list of recommendations/requests arising from the previous SRB meeting (SRB10).
- 2) **AGREE** to consider and revise the actions as necessary, and to combine them with any new actions arising from SRB11.

APPENDICES

Appendix A: [Update on actions arising from the 10th Session of the IPHC Scientific Review Board \(SRB10\)](#)

APPENDIX A

Update on actions arising from the 10th Session of the IPHC Scientific Review Board (SRB10)

RECOMMENDATIONS

(SRB10, para. 2) **NOTING** that the core purpose of the SRB10 is to review progress on the IPHC scientific program, and to provide guidance for the delivery of products to the SRB11 in September 2017, the SRB **AGREED** that formal recommendations to the Commission would not be developed at the present meeting, but rather, these would be developed at the SRB11.

REQUESTS

Action No.	Description	Update
SRB10– Req.01 (para. 18)	<p>Pacific halibut stock assessment development - Data source development</p> <p>The SRB REQUESTED that a plot of non-tribal CPUE (y-axis) vs. tribal CPUE (x-axis) be created/presented as a supplement to the current time series plots to improve communication.</p>	<p>Completed. To be presented at SRB11 (Document: IPHC-2017-SRB11-06).</p>
SRB10– Req.02 (para. 28)	<p>Size limit analysis for 2017</p> <p>The SRB REQUESTED an evaluation of the potential to try different size limits in different regions given the diversity of impacts on Pacific halibut fishing sectors and areas. MSL changes may need an adaptive management experiment approach that considers the biological, economic, and sociological consequences MSL changes. Indeed, predictions of consequences in each IPHC Regulatory Area should be a pre-requisite to any proposed MSL changes.</p>	<p>Completed. To be presented at SRB11 (Document: IPHC-2017-SRB11-07).</p>
SRB10– Req.03 (para. 51)	<p>Progress on ongoing IPHC-funded research projects</p> <p>The SRB REQUESTED that prior to future SRB meetings, the IPHC Secretariat prepare a report that details topics associated with each research area and then limit the topics for presentation to those that they consider to be most crucial.</p>	<p>Completed (and Ongoing): A report outlining research activities is available (IPHC-2017-SRB11-09) and selected topics will be presented at SRB11.</p>
SRB10– Req.04 (para. 73)	<p>Genetics and genomics</p> <p>The SRB REQUESTED that a future presentation on the overall research initiatives showing how stock assessment, biology, and policy are integrated.</p>	<p>Completed (and Ongoing): Integration of stock assessment, genetics and genomics, and policy will be presented at SRB11 (IPHC-2017-SRB11-09).</p>