## **MSAB** Meeting

June 13-14, 2013
IPHC Office, Seattle WA



#### **WELCOME**



#### **SO WHY ARE WE HERE?**



## Objectives for this meeting

• Define and rank <u>operational objectives</u> for the Pacific halibut fishery.

• Identify the <u>performance metrics</u> for evaluating alternative management procedures.



#### Objectives for the **MSE**

- To develop a process (not a product) in which to evaluate alternative management procedures, such as:
  - alternative harvest control rules,
  - alternative apportionment/allocation schemes,
  - changes to stock assessment methods,
  - changes survey/data collection programs,
  - etc., ..., .
- Ensure the process is consistent with achieving the <u>defined operational objectives</u>.

#### Role of MSAB in this process

- Define measurable operational objectives.
- Identify a set of performance metrics for evaluating alternative policy.
- Guide and devise alternative management procedures (MPs) for testing.
- Communication:
  - With IPHC Staff (input to the process),
  - With your stakeholders (input & output).
- HELP SHAPE & GUIDE changes to MP's

#### **AGENDA**



#### Rest of today

- A primer on MSE
- The CCC policy an example of an incomplete MSE
- Canadian Sablefish MSE (Rob Kronlund)
- Discussion (similarities & differences with halibut)
- Lunch
- Input from Industry, First Nations, Sport & Charter
- Input from Processors
- Commissioners perspectives

#### Tomorrow

- Procedures and operational role of MSAB in this process.
- Adminstration, sharepoint & travel reimbursement.
- Define & rank operational objectives for the Halibut fishery.
- Lunch
- Performance measures for evaluating management procedures.
- Discussion, feedback & closing remarks.



### What happens after this meeting?

- Meeting summary report:
  - Outline objectives & performance measures.
  - Summarize key discussions.
- Operating model development
  - Must capture items on the "worry list"
- Develop candidate management procedures for testing
  - E.g., alternative harvest policies, apportionment
  - MSAB proposals/suggestions/ideas.

#### A PRIMER ON MSE



#### What is MSE?

"Assessing the consequences of a range of management options and presenting the results in a way that lays bare the tradeoffs in performance across a range of management objectives."

Tony Smith



"Assessing the consequences of a range of management options and presenting the results in a way that lays bare the tradeoffs in performance across a range of management objectives."

Cleary defined objectives.

Tony Smith



"Assessing the consequences of a range of management options and presenting the results in a way that lays bare the tradeoffs in **performance** across a range of management objectives."

Measurable performance criteria related to the objectives.

Tony Smith



"Assessing the consequences of a <u>range of</u> <u>management options</u> and presenting the results in a way that lays bare the tradeoffs in performance across a range of management objectives."

A set of management strategies.

Tony Smith



"Assessing the consequences of a range of management options and presenting the results in a way that lays bare the tradeoffs in performance across a range of management objectives."

 A means of calculating the performance criteria for each management strategy.

**Tony Smith** 



Manager Procedu		Scenario P(S1)	o 1	Scenarion P(S2)	o 2	Scenari P(SN)	o N	Expect Value	
Performa Measure (PM)		Short term W(st)	Long term W(lt)	Short term W(st)	Long term W(lt)	Short term W(st)	Long term W(lt)	Short Term	Long Term
Perfect Informat	ion							100	107
Status Q	uo							54	88
MP 1								58	54
MP 2								47	62
MP 3								77	84
MP									
MP N								89	99

Management Procedure	Scenario 1 P(S1)	Scenario 2 P(S2)	Scenario N P(SN)	Expected Value (EV)	
Performance Measure (PM)	Short Long term term W(st) W(lt)	Short Long term term W(st) W(lt)	Short Long term term W(st) W(lt)		ong erm
Perfect Information				100	107
Status Quo	hoices, wh	at combina	tion of:	54	88
MP 1 _	Data			58	54
MP 2 -	Harvest c	ontrol rule		47	62
MP 3		nt method nment met		77	84
MP	Αρροιτίοι		ITOG		
MP N				89	99

Management Procedure		Scenario 1 P(S1)		Scenario 2 P(S2)		Scenario N P(SN)		Expected Value (EV)	
Performance Measure (PM)		Short term W(st)	Long term W(lt)	Short term W(st)	Long term W(lt)	Short term W(st)	Long term W(lt)	Short Term	Long Term
Perfect Information								100	107
Status Quo	N	leasu	ırable	& rel	ated t	o ob	jectiv	54 'es:	88
MP 1	-		rt terr				,	58	54
MP 2	-		rage c					47	62
MP 3	-		s years oletion		h rela	tive	to last	t yea	84 84
MP									
MP N								89	99

# Worry list



Photo: Paul Logan

Management Procedure		Scenario 1 P(S1)		Scenario 2 P(S2)		Scenario N P(SN)		Expected Value (EV)		
Performance Measure (PM)		Short term W(st)	long term W(lt)	Short term W(st)	Long term W(lt)	Short term W(st)	Long term W(lt)	Short Term	Long Term	
Perfect Information								100	107	
Status Quo	U	se alt	ernat	ive s	cenari	os to	capt	s4 ure	83	
MP 1		nge of uncertainty (things beyond								
MP 2	O	ur cor					47	62		
MP 3	1		vs. hi		DO atural	mor	tality	77	84	
MP	1				ions		carrey			
MP N								89	99	

Management Procedure						Expected Value (EV)		
Performance Measure (PM)	nor term W(st)	cenario	Long term W(lt)	Short term W(st)	Long term W(lt)	Short Term	Long Term	
Perfect Informatic						100	107	
	anagement Procedure					54	88	
MP 1			4			58	54	
MP 2		Opera	ting Model			47	62	
MP 3						77	84	
MP								
MP N						89	99	

Management Procedure	Scario 1 Po 1)	So	cenario	2	Scenario P(SN)	o N	Expect Value	
Performance Measure (PM)	ior term W(st)	Scenario		Long term W(lt)	Short term W(st)	Long term W(lt)	Shor Terr	Long Term
Perfect Information							100	107
	anagement Procedure						54	88
MP 1								54
MP 2		0	perating		Measure	erate Perf s in whicl fs among	h to eva	luate
MP 3						gement p		
MP							***	
MP N							89	99

# Schematic diagram for evaluating alternative management procedures.

