The stock status of Pacific halibut (2018), harvest decision table, and preliminary mortality projections
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## Summary

- Fishery and modelled survey trends down coastwide
- Setline survey expansion data increased coastwide biomass estimates
- Setline survey observations of the 2011 and 2012 cohorts reduced recent fishing intensity estimates
- Spawning biomass still estimated to be decreasing and projected to decrease for TCEYs >20 Mlb, with greater uncertainty in this year's results


## Outline

- Coastwide stock assessment
- Data sources
- Modelling and results
- Projections and Decision table
- 2019 Mortality projection tool


## Sources of mortality



## Recent mortality (weight)

| Year | Commercial <br> Landings | Discard <br> mortality | Recreational | Subsistence | Bycatch | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 23.70 | 1.30 | 7.18 | 1.20 | 8.93 | 42.31 |
| 2015 | 24.67 | 1.29 | 7.46 | 1.20 | 7.47 | 42.10 |
| 2016 | 25.05 | 1.18 | 7.38 | 1.17 | 7.02 | 41.79 |
| 2017 | 26.17 | 0.99 | 7.60 | 1.17 | 6.07 | 41.99 |
| 2018 | 23.50 | 0.83 | 7.19 | 1.17 | 6.06 | 38.74 |

(Bycatch estimates to be updated in early January)

## Biological regions



## Modelled survey trend (Numbers)
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## Modelled survey trend (All sizes WPUE)
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## Modelled survey trend (O32 WPUE)
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## Modelled survey trend (O32 WPUE): Region 2





## Fishery trend: Region 2





Fixed-hook Snap

## Modelled survey trend (O32 WPUE): Region 2
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## Fishery trend: Region 2





Bias corrected for incomplete data

## Modelled survey trend (O32 WPUE): Region 3

## 200 <br> Fishery trend: Region 3



## Modelled survey trend (O32 WPUE): Region 3

## Modelled survey trend (O32 WPUE): Region 4




## Fishery trend: Region 4



## Modelled survey trend (O32 WPUE): Region 4




## Fishery trend: Region 4



## Modelled survey trend (O32 WPUE): Region 4B



## Fishery trend: Region 4B



# Modelled survey trend (O32 WPUE): Region 4B 



## Fishery trend: Region 4B



## Biological stock distribution



## Biological stock distribution



# Biological stock distribution 



## Biological stock distribution

| Year | Region 2 <br> $(2 A, 2 B, 2 C)$ | Region 3 <br> $(3 A, 3 B)$ | Region 4 <br> $(4 A, 4 C D E)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | $23.4 \%$ | $53.3 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| 2015 | $24.6 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| 2016 | $24.6 \%$ | $53.5 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| 2017 | $24.6 \%$ | $50.8 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| 2018 | $23.1 \%$ | $51.2 \%$ | 20.4\% | $\mathbf{5 . 2 \%}$ |

## Fishery average fish weight



## Setline survey average O32 fish weight



## Setline survey average fish weight



## Fishery ages (sexes combined)



2005 year class

## Setline survey ages (sexes combined)



## Setline survey age composition data: 3B



## Ecosystem conditions

- More warm water in the fall of 2018
- No cold pool in Bering Sea
- Northerly shift in cod and pollock distributions
- Bird mortality



## Ecosystem conditions

- Weakly positive Pacific Decadal Oscillation in 2018



## Outline

- Coastwide stock assessment
- Data sources
- Modelling and results
- Projections and Decision table
- 2019 Mortality projection tool


## Modelling for 2018

- Updated assessment using the same ensemble methodology (4 models) from 2016-17, based on the independent scientific review in 2015
- Full analysis and review scheduled: June 2019
- New information:
- 2018 fishery and modelled survey trend, biological data (ages, lengths, and weights)
- Setline survey expansion in Region 2 (updated the full modelled survey time-series)


## Comparison to previous years



# Change in individual model estimates (compared to last year) 

- 2018 Survey observed more of the 2011 and 2012 cohorts than in $2017 \rightarrow$ increased estimated recruitment


## Recruitment comparisons



## Recruitment comparisons



## Recruitment comparisons



## Change in individual model estimates (compared to last year)

- 2018 Survey observed more of the 2011 and 2012 cohorts than in $2017 \rightarrow$ increased estimated recruitment
- 2018 Expansion data (Region 2) produced more precision (throughout the time series) and a flatter trend


## Spawning biomass



## Spawning biomass comparison



## Change in estimates from last year

- Increased uncertainty:
- Fish aged 6-7 are poorly sampled (0-7\% of annual survey catch), another year's data will improve the certainty of the incoming year-classes
- The completion of the expansion data (Region 3) will be collected in 2019


## Relative coastwide harvest rate



## Assessment summary table

| Indicators | Values | Trends | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total mortality 2018: <br> Retained catch 2018: <br> Average removals 2014-18: | 38.74 MLBS, 17,572 т <br> 31.81 MLBS, 14,427 т <br> 41.39 Mlbs, 18,772 т | MORTALITY DECREASED FROM 2017 то 2018 | 2018 MORTALITY NEAR 100-YEAR Low |
| $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{SPR}_{2018}: \\ \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{SPR}<46 \%): \\ \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{SPR}<\text { limit) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49\% (28-62\%) } \\ & 34 \% \end{aligned}$ <br> LIMIT NOT SPECIFIED | FISHING INTENSITY DECREASED FROM 2017 то 2018 | Fishing intensity beLow reference LEVEL |
| $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{SB}_{2019}(\mathrm{MIb}): \\ \mathrm{SB}_{2019} / \mathrm{SB}_{0}: \\ \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{SB}_{2019}<\mathrm{SB}_{30}\right): \\ \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{SB}_{2019}<\mathrm{SB}_{20}\right): \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 199 \text { MLBS (125-287) } \\ 43 \%(27-63 \%) \\ 11 \% \\ <1 \% \end{array}$ | SB DECREASED FROM 2017 To 2018 | Not OVERFISHED |
| Biological stock distribution: | See Tables and Figures | DISTRIBUTION STABLE 2014-18 | Region 2 above, <br> Region 3 below <br> Historical values |
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## Projections under constant mortality

| 2019 Alternative | No fishing mortality |  |  |  |  | Status quo |  | Reference SPR=46\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total mortality (M Ib) | 0.0 | 11.7 | 21.8 | 31.8 | 37.6 | 39.0 | 40.4 | 41.8 | 43.1 | 44.3 | 45.5 | 46.8 | 48.3 | 49.9 | 61.8 |
| TCEY (M Ib) | 0.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 35.8 | 37.2 | 38.6 | 40.0 | 41.3 | 42.5 | 43.7 | 45.0 | 46.5 | 48.1 | 60.0 |
| 2019 Fishing intensity | $\mathrm{F}_{100 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{78 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{64 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{54 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{49 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{48 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{47 \%}$ | $F_{46 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{45 \%}$ | $F_{44 \%}$ | $F_{43 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{42 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{41 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{40}$ \% | $\mathrm{F}_{34 \%}$ |
| Fishing intensity interval | -- | 56-87\% | 41-76\% | 31-67\% | 27-63\% | 26-62\% | 25-61\% | 25-60\% | 24-59\% | 23-59\% | 23-58\% | 22-57\% | 22-56\% | 21-55\% | 17-49\% |

(
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## Projections - no fishing



## Projections - 20 Mlb TCEY



## Projections - status quo (37.2 MIb TCEY)



## Projections - Reference ( $F_{46 \%}, 40 \mathrm{Mlb}$ TCEY)



## Projections - 60 Mlb TCEY



## 2019 Decision table

2019 Altemative

Total mortality (M Ib)
TCEY (M Ib)
2019 Fishing intensity
Fishing intensity interval

## Benefits (yield)

## Risk

## 2019 Decision table

|  | 2019 Alternative |  |  |  |  |  |  | Status quo |  | Reference SPR=46\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total mortality (M Ib) |  | 0.0 | 11.7 | 21.8 | 31.8 | 37.6 | 39.0 | 40.4 | 41.8 | 43.1 | 44.3 | 45.5 | 46.8 | 48.3 | 49.9 | 61.8 |
|  | TCEY (M lb) |  | 0.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 35.8 | 37.2 | 38.6 | 40.0 | 41.3 | 42.5 | 43.7 | 45.0 | 46.5 | 48.1 | 60.0 |
|  | 2019 Fishing intensity |  | $\mathrm{F}_{100 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{78 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{64 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{54 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{49 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{48 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{47 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{46 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{45 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{44 \%}$ | F43\% | $\mathrm{F}_{42 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{41 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{40 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{34}$ |
|  | Fishing intensity interval |  | - | 56-87\% | 41-76\% | 31-67\% | 27-63\% | 26-62\% | 25-61\% | 25-60\% | 24-59\% | 23-59\% | 23-58\% | 22-57\% | 22-56\% | 21-55\% | 17-49\% |
| Stock Trend (spawning biomass) | in 2020 | is less than 2019 | 1 | 3 | 26 | 60 | 77 | 81 | 84 | 87 | 90 | 92 | 93 | 95 | 96 | 97 | $>99$ |
|  |  | is 5\% less than 2019 | <1 | $<1$ | 1 | 10 | 26 | 30 | 34 | 37 | 39 | 41 | 43 | 45 | 48 | 50 | 78 |
|  | in 2021 | is less than 2019 | 1 | 7 | 41 | 75 | 90 | 93 | 94 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 98 | 99 | 99 | 99 | $>99$ |
|  |  | is 5\% less than 2019 | $<1$ | 1 | 11 | 42 | 57 | 61 | 65 | 69 | 73 | 77 | 80 | 83 | 87 | 90 | 99 |
|  | in 2022 | is less than 2019 | 1 | 12 | 51 | 82 | 93 | 94 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 98 | 99 | 99 | 99 | >99 | $>99$ |
|  |  | is 5\% less than 2019 | <1 | 3 | 28 | 58 | 76 | 79 | 83 | 86 | 88 | 90 | 92 | 93 | 95 | 96 | $>99$ |

High probability of stock decline over all TCEYs larger than 20 Mlb

## Decision table from last year

|  | 2018 Alternative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Reference: SPR=46\% |  |  |  |  | Suggested |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Status } \\ \text { quo } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total removals (M Ib) |  | 0.0 | 11.8 | 21.8 | 28.8 | 29.8 | 30.8 | 31.8 | 32.8 | 33.8 | 34.8 | 35.8 | 37.3 | 39.0 | 41.8 | 42.6 |
|  | TCEY (M Ib)Fishing intensityFishing intensity interval |  | 0.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 27.0 | 28.0 | 29.0 | 30.0 | 31.0 | 32.0 | 33.0 | 34.0 | 35.5 | 37.2 | 40.0 | 40.8 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{F}_{100 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{73 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{58 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{50 \%}$ | F49\% | $\mathrm{F}_{48 \%}$ | F47\% | $\mathrm{F}_{46 \%}$ | F45\% | F44\% | F43\% | F42\% | $\mathrm{F}_{41 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{39 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{38 \%}$ |
|  |  |  | -- | 61-84\% | 45-73\% | 37-67\% | 36-66\% | 36-65\% | 35-65\% | 34-64\% | 33-63\% | 32-63\% | 32-62\% | 31-61\% | 30-60\% | 28-58\% | 27-57\% |
| Stock Trend (spawning biomass) | in 2019 | is less than 2018 | 1 | 3 | 24 | 59 | 64 | 69 | 74 | 78 | 81 | 85 | 87 | 91 | 93 | 98 | $>99$ |
|  |  | is 5\% less than 2018 | $<1$ | $<1$ | <1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 19 | 29 | 34 |
|  | in 2020 | is less than 2018 | $<1$ | 1 | 14 | 46 | 52 | 57 | 62 | 67 | 71 | 76 | 80 | 85 | 88 | 95 | 98 |
|  |  | is 5\% less than 2018 | $<1$ | $<1$ | 1 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 18 | 21 | 25 | 29 | 34 | 41 | 48 | 61 | 68 |
|  | in 2021 | is less than 2018 | $<1$ | 2 | 23 | 59 | 63 | 68 | 72 | 76 | 79 | 83 | 86 | 90 | 92 | 97 | 99 |
|  |  | is 5\% less than 2018 | $<1$ | $<1$ | 5 | 27 | 32 | 36 | 41 | 46 | 50 | 55 | 59 | 66 | 72 | 83 | 89 |

High probability of stock decrease: Now estimated to have decreased
‘Surplus production’ for 2018-2021 was ~25.25 Mlb:
Estimated to be ~20 MIb for 2019-2022

## 2019 Decision table

|  | 2019 Alternative |  |  |  |  |  |  | Status quo |  | Reference SPR=46\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total mortality (M Ib) |  | 0.0 | 11.7 | 21.8 | 31.8 | 37.6 | 39.0 | 40.4 | 41.8 | 43.1 | 44.3 | 45.5 | 46.8 | 48.3 | 49.9 | 61.8 |
|  | TCEY (M Ib) |  | 0.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 35.8 | 37.2 | 38.6 | 40.0 | 41.3 | 42.5 | 43.7 | 45.0 | 46.5 | 48.1 | 60.0 |
|  | 2019 Fishing intensity |  | $\mathrm{F}_{100 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{78 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{64 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{54 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{49 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{48 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{47 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{46 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{45 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{44 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{43 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{42 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{41 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{40 \%}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{34 \%}$ |
|  | Fis | intensity interval | - | 56-87\% | 41-76\% | 31-67\% | 27-63\% | 26-62\% | 25-61\% | 25-60\% | 24-59\% | 23-59\% | 23-58\% | 22-57\% | 22-56\% | 21-55\% | 17-49\% |
| Stock Status <br> (Spawning biomass) | in 2020 | is less than $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ | 5 | 7 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 25 |
|  |  | is less than $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | 1 |
|  | in 2021 | is less than 30\% | 3 | 7 | 13 | 20 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 33 |
|  |  | is less than $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
|  | in 2022 | is less than $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ | 2 | 8 | 17 | 25 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 41 |
|  |  | is less than $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | <1 | <1 | $<1$ | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 24 |

Increasing, but low probability of dropping below $\mathrm{SB}_{30 \%}, \mathrm{SB}_{20 \%}$.

## 2019 Decision table



## Probabilities of decreased fishery yield (on returning to an $F_{46 \%}$ ) exceed 50/100 between 36 and 43 MIb TCEY

Uncertainty in SPR is large: $25 / 100$ chance of exceeding $F_{46 \%}$ even at " $F_{54 \%}$ "

## Full decision

 table
## Projection summary

- New data suggest slightly lower recent fishing intensity (but not significantly different given uncertainty)
- Stock declines estimated for last few years and projected to continue under TCEYs greater than 20 Mlbs
- 2019 data should refine estimates of uncertain 2011-2012 year-classes


# INTERNATIONAL PACIFIC 



## Outline
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## Mortality projection tool

- Inputs (yellow cells, everything else locked):
- Distributed mortality limit (TCEY)
- \% of TCEY in each Regulatory Area
- Bycatch option (previous year's estimates or full regulatory limits)
- Unit of measure (Mlb, metric tons)


## https://iphc.int/data/projection-tool

## Mortality projection tool

- Outputs:
- Estimated SPR
- TCEY and total mortality by Regulatory Area
- Modelled stock and TCEY distribution with relative harvest rate by Biological Region
- Detailed mortality tables (by Regulatory Area and sector)
- Applying the Catch agreements in each Area


## https://iphc.int/data/projection-tool

## Mortality projection tool

- Graphics:
- Spawning biomass projection
- Coastwide relative fishing intensity
- Relative harvest rate by Biological Region
- Mortality by source and Regulatory Area (\% and absolute)


## https://iphc.int/data/projection-tool

## Example: 'Interim management procedure’

- Scale from:
- Reference SPR = 46\%
- Distribution from:
- Modelled O32 survey distribution by Regulatory Area
- Relative harvest rates by Regulatory Area:

$$
1.0 \text { in } 2 A-3 A, 0.75 \text { in } 3 B-4 C D E
$$

## https://iphc.int/data/projection-tool

## Mortality projection tool

- Will be updated with end-of-year bycatch estimates in early January for use during AM095


## https://iphc.int/data/projection-tool

# INTERNATIONAL PACIFIC 



