



**INTERNATIONAL PACIFIC HALIBUT COMMISSION
INTEGRATED RESEARCH AND MONITORING PLAN
(IRMP)**

INTERNATIONAL PACIFIC



HALIBUT COMMISSION

Commissioners

Canada	United States of America
Mark Waddell	Jon Kurland
Neil Davis	Robert Alverson
Peter DeGreef	Richard Yamada

Executive Director

David T. Wilson, Ph.D.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC ENTRY

IPHC 2026. International Pacific Halibut Commission Integrated Research and Monitoring Plan. Seattle, WA, U.S.A.
IPHC-2026-IRMP, 51 pp.



The designations employed and the presentation of material in this publication and its lists do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the International Pacific Halibut Commission (IPHC) concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

This work is protected by copyright. Fair use of this material for scholarship, research, news reporting, criticism or commentary is permitted. Selected passages, tables or diagrams may be reproduced for such purposes provided acknowledgment of the source is included. Major extracts or the entire document may not be reproduced by any process without the written permission of the Executive Director, IPHC.

The IPHC has exercised due care and skill in the preparation and compilation of the information and data set out in this publication. Notwithstanding, the IPHC, its employees and advisers, assert all rights and immunities, and disclaim all liability, including liability for negligence, for any loss, damage, injury, expense or cost incurred by any person as a result of accessing, using or relying upon any of the information or data set out in this publication, to the maximum extent permitted by law including the International Organizations Immunities Act.

Contact details:

International Pacific Halibut Commission

2320 W. Commodore Way, Suite 300

Seattle, WA, 98199-1287, U.S.A.

Phone: +1 206 634 1838

Fax: +1 206 632 2983

Email: secretariat@iphc.int

Website: <http://www.iphc.int/>



ACRONYMS

AI	Artificial Intelligence
AM	Annual Meeting
CB	Conference Board
DMR	Discard Mortality Rate
FAC	Finance and Administration Committee
FISS	Fishery-Independent Setline Survey
FSC	First Nations Food, Social, and Ceremonial [fishery]
IM	Interim Meeting
IPHC	International Pacific Halibut Commission
IRMP	Integrated Research and Monitoring Plan
MP	Management Procedure
MSAB	Management Strategy Advisory Board
MSE	Management Strategy Evaluation
OM	Operating Model
PAB	Processor Advisory Board
PDO	Pacific Decadal Oscillation
QAQC	Quality assurance/quality control
RAB	Research Advisory Board
SHARC	Subsistence Halibut Registration Certificates
SRB	Scientific Review Board
TCEY	Total Constant Exploitation Yield
U.S.A.	United States of America
WM	Work Meeting

DEFINITIONS

A set of working definitions are provided in the IPHC Glossary of Terms and abbreviations:
<https://www.iphc.int/glossary-of-terms-and-abbreviations/>



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction.....	8
2. Objectives	9
3. Strategy	13
4. Measures of Success.....	13
4.1 Delivery of specified products and decision-points.....	14
4.2 Communication.....	14
4.3 External research funding	14
4.4 Peer-reviewed journal publication	14
5. Core focal areas – Background	15
5.1 Research.....	15
5.1.1 Stock Assessment.....	15
5.1.2 Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE)	16
5.1.3 Biology and Ecology.....	18
5.2 Monitoring	18
5.2.1 Fishery-dependent data	19
5.2.1.1 Directed commercial fisheries data.....	19
5.2.1.2 Recreational fisheries data	19
5.2.1.3 Subsistence fisheries data	19
5.2.1.4 Non-directed commercial discard mortality data.....	19
5.2.2 Fishery-independent data	20
5.2.2.1 Fishery-independent setline survey (FISS).....	20
5.2.2.2 Fishery-independent Trawl Survey (FITS).....	21
5.2.2.3 Norton Sound trawl survey	22
5.2.3 Age composition data (both fishery-dependent and fishery-independent)	22
5.2.4 Data management and storage	23
5.3 Management-supporting information	23
6. Core focal areas – Planned and opportunistic activities	23
6.1 Research.....	24
6.1.1 Stock Assessment.....	24
6.1.1.1 Stock Assessment data collection and processing	24
6.1.1.2 Stock Assessment technical development	25
6.1.1.3 Stock Assessment biological inputs.....	26



6.1.2	Management Strategy Evaluation	26
6.1.2.1	MSE biological and population parameterisation.....	26
6.1.2.2	MSE fishery parameterisation.....	27
6.1.2.3	MSE technical development	27
6.1.2.4	Potential Future MSE projects	28
6.1.3	Biology and Ecology.....	28
6.1.3.1	Migration and Population Dynamics	28
6.1.3.2	Reproduction.....	29
6.1.3.3	Growth and size-at-age	30
6.1.3.4	Fishery dynamics and fishing technology.....	30
6.2	Monitoring	31
6.2.1	Fishery-dependent data	31
6.2.2	Fishery-independent setline survey (FISS).....	32
6.2.2.1	Fishery-independent Trawl Survey (FITS).....	32
6.2.3	Ageing methods (both fishery-dependent and fishery-independent).....	33
6.3	Management-supporting information	34
6.3.1	Potential of integrating human dynamics into management decision-making	34
7.	Amendment	34
8.	References.....	34



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

An **overarching goal** of this current IPHC Integrated Research and Monitoring Plan (IRMP) is to continue to integrate all research activities of the IPHC Secretariat in order to improve the Pacific halibut stock assessment and MSE process and thereby provide the best possible advice for management decision-making processes. In doing so, the Plan also responds to emerging challenges and opportunities, including those presented by advances in artificial intelligence (AI), to enhance analytical capacity, improve efficiency, and support innovation across scientific and operational domains. The intention is no longer to designate the Plan for a defined period, but rather, to annually review and update the Plan as needed, based on resources available to the IPHC, as well as new Commission directives.

Along with the implementation of the short- and medium-term activities contemplated in this IRMP and in pursuit of the overarching goal, the IPHC Secretariat will also aim to:

- 1) undertake cutting-edge research programs in fisheries research in support of fisheries management of Pacific halibut;
- 2) undertake groundbreaking methodological research;
- 3) undertake applied research;
- 4) establish new collaborative agreements and interactions with research agencies and academic institutions;
- 5) promote the international involvement of the IPHC by continued and new participation in international scientific organisations and by leading international science and research collaborations.
- 6) effectively communicate IPHC research outcomes; and
- 7) incorporate talented students and early researchers in research activities.

The IPHC Secretariat has five (5) enduring strategic goals in executing our mission, including our overarching goal and associated science and research objectives, as articulated in our Strategic Plan ([IPHC Strategic Plan \(2023-27\)](#)):

- 1) To operate in accordance with international best practice;
- 2) Be a world leader in scientific excellence and science-based decision making;
- 3) To foster collaboration (within Contracting Parties and internationally) to enhance our science, monitoring, and management advice;
- 4) Create a vibrant IPHC culture; and
- 5) Set the standard for fisheries commissions globally.

Although priorities and tasking will change over time in response to events and developments, the Strategic Plan provides a framework to standardise our approach when revising or setting new priorities and tasking. The Strategic goals as they apply to the science and research activities of the IPHC Secretariat, are operationalised through a multi-year tactical activity matrix at the organisational and management unit (Branch) level ([Fig. 3](#)). The tactical activity matrix is described and has been developed based on the core needs of the Commission, in developing and implementing robust, scientifically-based management decisions on an annual, and multi-year level. Relevant IPHC subsidiary bodies will be involved in project development and ongoing review.



The Secretariat's success in implementing the IRMP will be measured according to the following criteria relevant to the stock assessment, the MSE, and for all inputs to IPHC management:

- 1) Timeliness – was the research conducted, analysed, published, and provided to the Commission at the appropriate points to be included in annual management decisions?
- 2) Accessibility – was the research published and presented in such a way that it was available to other scientists, stakeholders, and decision-makers?
- 3) Relevance - was the information used to inform decisions made by the Commission?
- 4) Impact – did the research improve the perceived accuracy of or provide a better estimate of the uncertainty associated with information for use in management?
- 5) Reliability - has research resulted in more consistent information provided to the Commission for decision-making.

As with the previous two (2) plans, the IPHC Secretariat intends to maintain this IRMP document as a 'living plan', subject to annual reviews and updates as necessary. Revisions will reflect evolving priorities, resources available to undertake the work (e.g. internal and external fiscal resources, collaborations, internal expertise), and emerging opportunities. The IPHC Secretariat remains committed to transparency and to upholding the principles of open science in the development and implementation of this plan.



1. Introduction

The International Pacific Halibut Commission (IPHC) is a public international organisation so designated via Presidential Executive Order 11059 and established by a Convention between Canada and the United States of America. The IPHC Convention was signed on 2 March 1923, ratified on 21 July 1924, and came into effect on 21 October 1924 upon exchange. The Convention has been revised several times since, to extend the Commission's authority and meet new conditions in the fishery. The most recent change occurred in 1979 and involved an amendment to the 1953 Halibut Convention. The 1979 amendment, termed a "protocol", was precipitated in 1976 by Canada and the United States of America extending their jurisdiction over fisheries resources to 200 miles. The 1979 Protocol, along with the U.S. legislation that gave effect to the Protocol (Northern Pacific Halibut Act of 1982), has affected the way the fisheries are conducted and redefined the role of IPHC in the management of the fishery. Canada does not require specific enabling legislation to implement the protocol.

The basic texts of the Commission are available on the IPHC website: <https://www.iphc.int/the-commission>, and prescribe the mission of the organisation as:

“..... to develop the stocks of [Pacific] halibut in the Convention waters to those levels which will permit the optimum yield from the fishery and to maintain the stocks at those levels.” IPHC Convention, Article I, sub-article I, para. 2). The IPHC Convention Area is detailed in [Fig. 1](#).

The IPHC Secretariat, formed in support of the Commission's activities, is based in Seattle, WA, U.S.A. As its shared vision, ***the IPHC Secretariat aims to deliver positive economic, environmental, and social outcomes for the Pacific halibut resource for Canada and the U.S.A. through the application of rigorous science, innovation, and the implementation of international best practice.***




Figure 1. Map of the IPHC Convention Area (map insert) and IPHC Regulatory Areas.



2. Objectives

The IPHC has a long-standing history (since 1923) of collecting data, undertaking research, and stock assessment, devoted to describing and understanding the Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) stock and the fisheries that interact with it. Research at IPHC can be classified as “use-inspired basic research” (Stokes 1997) which combines knowledge building with the application of existing and emerging knowledge to provide for the management of Pacific halibut. The stock assessment, management strategy evaluation, management supporting information, and biology & ecology, all interact with each other as well as with fisheries monitoring activities in the IPHC program of integrated research and monitoring. Progress and knowledge building in one focal area influences and informs application in other core focal areas, also providing insight into future research priorities. The circular feedback loop is similar to the scientific method of observing a problem, creating a hypothesis, testing that hypothesis through research and analysis, drawing conclusions, and refining the hypothesis.

The IPHC Secretariat conducts activities to address key issues identified by the Commission, its subsidiary bodies, the broader stakeholder community, and of course, the IPHC Secretariat itself. The process of identifying, developing, and implementing our science-based activities involves several steps that are circular in nature, but result in clear research activities and associated deliverables. The process includes developing and proposing projects based on direct input from the Commission, the experience of the IPHC Secretariat given our broad understanding of the resource and its associated fisheries, and concurrent consideration by relevant IPHC subsidiary bodies, and where deemed necessary, additional external peer review.

Over the last ten (10) years, the research conducted by the IPHC Secretariat has been guided by two sequential detailed plans.

- 2017-2021: 5-Year Biological and Ecosystem Science Research Plan ([IPHC-2019-BESRP-5YP](#)).
- 2022-2026: 5-Year Program of Integrated Research and Monitoring ([IPHC-2023-5YPIRM](#))

The aim of the first plan (2017-2021) was to increase our knowledge on the biology of Pacific halibut in order to improve the accuracy of the stock assessment and in the management strategy evaluation (MSE) process. The [IPHC-2019-BESRP-5YP](#) contemplated research activities in five focal areas, namely Migration and Distribution, Reproduction, Growth and Physiological Condition, Discard Mortality Rates and Survival, and Genetics and Genomics. Research activities were highly integrated with the needs of stock assessment and MSE by their careful alignment with biological uncertainties and parameters, and the resulting prioritisation ([IPHC-2019-BESRP-5YP](#)). The outcomes of the [IPHC-2019-BESRP-5YP](#) (summarised in Appendix I of [IPHC-2023-5YPIRM](#)) provided key inputs into stock assessment and the MSE process and, importantly, provided foundational information for subsequent plans. The first plan (2017-2021) developed into a second broader and more inclusive plan that encompassed all research and monitoring activities planned and conducted by the IPHC Secretariat as described in the 5-Year Program of Integrated Research and Monitoring (2022-2026) ([IPHC-2023-5YPIRM](#)).

The 2nd Performance Review of the IPHC ([IPHC-2019-PRIPHC02-R](#)), carried out over the course of 2019, also provided a range of recommendations to the Commission on ways in which it could continue to improve on the quality of scientific advice being provided to the Commission. There were nine (9) specific recommendations relevant to the research and monitoring, as provided below. Of these, only recommendations 3 and 9 remain to be fully implemented and have been incorporated into this current IRMP:



Science: Status of living marine resources

PRIPHC02–Rec.03 (para. 44) The PRIPHC02 **RECOMMENDED** that opportunities to engage with western Pacific halibut science and management agencies be sought, to strengthen science links and data exchange. Specifically, consider options to investigate pan-Pacific stock structure and migration of Pacific halibut.

PRIPHC02–Rec.04 (para. 45) The PRIPHC02 **RECOMMENDED** that:

- a) further efforts be made to lead and collaborate on research to assess the ecosystem impacts of Pacific halibut fisheries on incidentally caught species (retained and/or discarded);
- b) where feasible, this research be incorporated within the IPHC's 5-Year Research Plan (<https://www.iphc.int/uploads/pdf/besrp/2019/iphc-2019-besrp-5yp.pdf>);
- c) findings from the IPHC Secretariat research and that of the Contracting Parties be readily accessible via the IPHC website.

Science: Quality and provision of scientific advice

PRIPHC02–Rec.05 (para. 63) The PRIPHC02 **RECOMMENDED** that simplified materials be developed for RAB and especially MSAB use, including training/induction materials.

PRIPHC02–Rec.06 (para. 64) The PRIPHC02 **RECOMMENDED** that consideration be given to amending the Rules of Procedure to include appropriate fixed terms of service to ensure SRB peer review remains independent and fresh; a fixed term of three years seems appropriate, with no more than one renewal.

PRIPHC02–Rec.07 (para. 65) The PRIPHC02 **RECOMMENDED** that the peer review process be strengthened through expanded subject specific independent reviews including data quality and standards, the FISS, MSE, and biological/ecological research; as well as conversion of “grey literature” to primary literature publications. The latter considered important to ongoing information outreach efforts given the cutting-edge nature of the Commission's scientific work.

PRIPHC02–Rec.08 (para. 66) The PRIPHC02 **RECOMMENDED** that the IPHC Secretariat develop options for simple graphical summaries (i.e. phase plot equivalents) of fishing intensity and spawning stock biomass for provision to the Commission.

Conservation and Management: Data collection and sharing

PRIPHC02–Rec.09 (para. 73) The PRIPHC02 **RECOMMENDED** that observer coverage be adjusted to be commensurate with the level of fishing intensity in each IPHC Regulatory Area.

Conservation and Management: Consistency between scientific advice and fishery Regulations adopted

PRIPHC02–Rec.10 (para. 82) The PRIPHC02 **RECOMMENDED** that the development of MSE to underpin multi-year (strategic) decision-making be continued, and as multi-year decision making is implemented, current Secretariat capacity usage for annual stock assessments should be refocused on research to investigate MSE operating model development (including consideration of biological and fishery uncertainties) for future MSE iterations and regularized multi-year stock assessments.

PRIPHC02–Rec.11 (para. 83) The PRIPHC02 **RECOMMENDED** that ongoing work on the MSE process be prioritised to ensure there is a management framework/procedure with minimal room for ambiguous interpretation, and robust pre-agreed mortality limit setting frameworks.



The work outlined in this document builds on the previous Research and Monitoring Plans ([IPHC-2019-BESRP-5YP](#); and [IPHC-2023-5YPIRM](#)), closing completed projects, extending efforts where needed, and adding new avenues in response to new information. [Appendix I](#) provides a detailed summary of the outcomes of the previous [IPHC-2023-5YPIRM](#) plan and the status of the work specifically undertaken. Key highlights relevant to the stock assessment and MSE include:

- Investigations on population genomics, including the delineation of a genetic baseline and genomic analyses of population structure ([IPHC-2025-SRB026-06](#)).
- Population-level sampling and analysis of maturity and fecundity leading to incorporation of an updated maturity ogive in the 2025 stock assessment and ongoing progress toward an updated fecundity relationship ([IPHC-2025-SRB026-06](#)).
- Investigations on methods for reducing whale depredation in the Pacific halibut commercial longline fishery ([IPHC-2025-SRB026-06](#)).

All previously described research areas continue to represent critical sources of information for the stock assessment and MSE and thus are closely linked to management performance. The previous 5-year plans were successful in either providing direct new information to the stock assessment or building the foundation for the collection/analysis of such information in this updated plan. As noted below, some new priorities have emerged, and others have evolved based on the work completed to date. The incorporation of research objectives in the current IRMP that address climate change as a factor influencing Pacific halibut biology and ecology as well as fishery performance and dynamics constitutes a timely and relevant contribution towards advancing IPHC-led research to the forefront of fisheries science.

An overarching goal of this current IPHC Integrated Research and Monitoring Plan (IRMP) is to continue to integrate all research activities of the IPHC Secretariat in order to improve the Pacific halibut stock assessment and MSE process and thereby provide the best possible advice for management decision-making processes. In doing so, the Plan also responds to emerging challenges and opportunities, including those presented by advances in artificial intelligence (AI), to enhance analytical capacity, improve efficiency, and support innovation across scientific and operational domains. The intention is no longer to designate the Plan for a defined period, but rather, to annually review and update the Plan as needed, based on resources available to the IPHC, as well as new Commission directives.

Along with the implementation of the short- and medium-term activities contemplated in this IRMP and in pursuit of the overarching goal, the IPHC Secretariat will also aim to:

- 1) undertake cutting-edge research programs in fisheries research in support of fisheries management of Pacific halibut;
- 2) undertake groundbreaking methodological research;
- 3) undertake applied research;
- 4) establish new collaborative agreements and interactions with research agencies and academic institutions;
- 5) promote the international involvement of the IPHC by continued and new participation in international scientific organisations and by leading international science and research collaborations;
- 6) effectively communicate IPHC research outcomes; and
- 7) incorporate talented students and early researchers in research activities.



The research and monitoring activities conducted by the IPHC Secretariat are organized into the following five (5) areas: stock assessment, MSE, biology and ecology, monitoring, and additional management support. The overall aim is to provide integrated research and monitoring where each area informs and benefits from the others (Fig. 2):

Research

- 1) **Stock assessment**: to improve the accuracy and reliability of the current stock assessment and the characterisation of uncertainty in the resultant stock management advice provided to the Commission;
- 2) **Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE)**: to develop an accurate, reliable, and informative MSE process to appropriately characterize uncertainty, investigate reasonable hypothetical scenarios, and provide for the robust evaluation of the consequences of alternative management options, known as harvest strategies, using defined conservation and fishery objectives;
- 3) **Biology and Ecology**: identify and assess critical knowledge gaps in the biology and ecology of Pacific halibut within its known range, including the influence of environmental conditions on population and fishery dynamics;

Monitoring

- 4) **Monitoring**: collect representative fishery dependent and fishery-independent data on the distribution, abundance, biology, and demographics of Pacific halibut through ongoing monitoring activities , and ensure these data are effectively managed, quality-controlled, and maintained in accessible data systems to support timely analysis;

Integrated management support

- 5) **Additional management-supporting inputs**: respond to Commission requests for additional information supporting management and policy development, including the provision of technical advice, synthesis of research results, and development of analytical tools to inform decision-making.




Figure 2. Core areas of the IPHC's Integrated Research and Monitoring Plan (IRMP) provide management support.



3. Strategy

The IPHC Secretariat has five (5) enduring strategic goals in executing our mission, including our overarching goal and associated science and research objectives, as articulated in our Strategic Plan ([IPHC Strategic Plan \(2023-27\)](#)): 1) to operate in accordance with international best practice; 2) be a world leader in scientific excellence and science-based decision making; 3) to foster collaboration (within Contracting Parties and internationally) to enhance our science, monitoring, and management advice; 4) create a vibrant IPHC culture; and 5) set the standard for fisheries commissions globally.

Although priorities and tasking will change over time in response to events and developments, the Strategic Plan provides a framework to standardise our approach when revising or setting new priorities and tasking. The Strategic goals as they apply to the science and research activities of the IPHC Secretariat, are operationalised through a multi-year tactical activity matrix at the organisational and management unit (Branch) level ([Fig. 3](#)). The tactical activity matrix is described in the sections below and has been developed based on the core needs of the Commission, in developing and implementing robust, scientifically-based management decisions on an annual, and multi-year level. Relevant IPHC subsidiary bodies will be involved in project development and ongoing review.




Figure 3. IPHC Secretariat organisation chart (2025).

4. Measures of Success

The Secretariat's success in implementing the IRMP will be measured according to the following criteria relevant to the stock assessment, the MSE, and for all inputs to IPHC management:

- 1) **Timeliness** – was the research conducted, analysed, published, and provided to the Commission at the appropriate points to be included in annual management decisions?
- 2) **Accessibility** – was the research published and presented in such a way that it was available to other scientists, stakeholders, and decision-makers?
- 3) **Relevance** - was the information used to inform decisions made by the Commission?
- 4) **Impact** – did the research improve the perceived accuracy of or provide a better estimate of the uncertainty associated with information for use in management?



- 5) **Reliability** - has research resulted in more consistent information provided to the Commission for decision-making.

4.1 Delivery of specified products and decision points

Each project line item will contain specific deliverables that constitute useful inputs into the understanding of the Pacific halibut stock and fisheries, the stock assessment, and the management strategy evaluation process, as well as support their implementation in the decision-making process at the level of the Commission.

In addition, decision points will be developed for each project to determine whether internally funded activities continue or stop. The goal will be to ensure that none of the projects contemplated within the IRMP are open-ended, and thus, are not continued indefinitely if the question is answered sufficiently to remove it from the high-priority list. For example, questions about stock structure could be continued, but they have been sufficiently addressed that the possibility of stock structure is no longer a high-priority risk.

Cost-benefit analysis: innovation and emerging scientific methods will undergo a cost-benefit analysis before implementation, where feasible.

4.2 Communication

The IPHC Secretariat will disseminate information about the activities contemplated in the IRMP and the resulting products to Contracting Parties, stakeholders, the scientific community, and the general public through a variety of channels:

- 1) IPHC website (www.iphc.int), including through the development of a Stock Status Dashboard;
- 2) Formal documentation provided for IPHC meetings (Interim and Annual Meetings, Subsidiary Body meetings, etc.);
- 3) Presentations at national and international scientific conferences;
- 4) Published reports and peer-reviewed publications (section 4.4);
- 5) Outreach events;
- 6) Posts on social media platforms;
- 7) Informal presentations and interactions with partners, stakeholders, and decision-makers at varied times and venues when needed;
- 8) Accessible and plain-language summaries of key findings, where appropriate, to facilitate broader stakeholder engagement and understanding.

4.3 External research funding

The Secretariat has set a funding goal of at least 20% of the funds for our research and monitoring activities, to be sourced from external funding bodies on an annual basis. Continuing the successful funding-recruitment strategy adopted during the previous plans ([Appendix II](#)), the Secretariat will target available external funding opportunities that are timely and that aim at addressing key research objectives that have important implications for stock assessment and the MSE process. The IPHC Secretariat has the necessary expertise to propose novel and important research questions to funding agencies and to recruit external collaborators from research agencies and universities as deemed necessary. The IPHC Secretariat will continue to capitalise on the strong analytical contributions of quantitative scientists to the development of biological research questions within the framework of research projects funded by external as well as internal funding sources. While the external funding environment has changed substantially in recent years, we will continue with this goal and adapt accordingly.

4.4 Peer-reviewed journal publication

Publication of research outcomes in peer-reviewed journals will be clearly documented and monitored as a primary measure of success. This may include single publications at the completion of a particular project, or a



series of publications throughout the project, as well as at its completion. Each sub-project shall be published in a timely manner and shall be submitted no later than 12 months after the end of the research. In the sections that follow, the expected publications from each research stream and cross-stream are defined.

5. Core focal areas – Background

The main activities of the IRMP involve 1) monitoring (fisheries-dependent and –independent data collection), 2) research (biological, ecological), and 3) modelling (FISS, stock assessment, and MSE), as outlined in the following sub-sections. These components are closely linked to one another, have goals that are integrated across the organisation, and all feed into management decision-making (Fig. 4). Additionally, management-supporting information constitutes a range of additional decision-making inputs within and beyond IPHC’s current research and monitoring programs. The current program builds on the outcomes and experiences of the Commission arising from the implementation of the previous two (2) plans, and which are summarised in [IPHC-2023-5YPIRM](#) and [Appendix I](#), respectively.




Figure 4. Flow of information from basic biological understanding of the Pacific halibut resource, through IPHC research components (monitoring, biological and ecological research, stock assessment, and MSE) to management decision-making. Management-supporting information (grey) constitutes a range of additional decision-making drivers within and beyond IPHC’s current research and monitoring programs. Arrows indicate the strength (size of the arrow) and direction of information exchange. Also identified (in black) are the external links from funding and scientific publications, which supplement the IPHC’s internal process.

5.1 Research

5.1.1 Stock Assessment

Focal Area Objective	To improve the accuracy and reliability of the current stock assessment and the characterisation of uncertainty in the resultant stock management advice provided to the Commission.
IPHC Website portal	https://www.iphc.int/management/science-and-research/stock-assessment

The IPHC conducts an annual stock assessment, using data from the fishery-independent setline survey (FISS), the commercial Pacific halibut and other directed and non-directed fisheries, as well as biological information



from its research program and programs from other fisheries agencies. The assessment includes the Pacific halibut resource in the IPHC Convention Area, covering the Exclusive Economic Zones of Canada and the United States of America. Data sources are updated each year to reflect the most recent scientific information available for use in management decision-making.

All recent stock assessments have relied on an ensemble of four population dynamics models to estimate the probability distributions describing the current stock size, trend, and demographics. The ensemble is designed to capture both uncertainty related to the data and stock dynamics (due to estimation) as well as uncertainty related to our understanding of the way in which the Pacific halibut stock functions and is best approximated by a statistical model (structural uncertainty).

Stock assessment results are used as inputs for harvest strategy calculations, including mortality projection tables for the upcoming year that reflect the IPHC's harvest strategy policy and other considerations, as well as the harvest decision table. The harvest decision table uses the probability distributions from short-term (three-year) assessment projections to evaluate the trade-offs between alternative levels of potential yield (catch) and the associated risks to the stock and fishery.

The stock assessment research priorities have been subdivided into three categories:

- 1) Assessment data collection and processing;
- 2) technical development;
- 3) biological understanding and fishery yield

It is important to note that ongoing monitoring, including the annual FISS and directed commercial landings sampling activities, is not considered research and is therefore not included in this research priority list despite the critical importance of these collections. These are described in the sections below.

5.1.2 Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE)

Focal Area Objective	To develop an accurate, reliable, and informative MSE process to appropriately characterise uncertainty, investigate reasonable hypothetical scenarios, and provide for the robust evaluation of the consequences of alternative management options, known as harvest strategies, using defined conservation and fishery objectives.
IPHC Website portal	https://www.iphc.int/management/science-and-research/management-strategy-evaluation

Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE) is a process to evaluate alternative management options, known as harvest strategies. MSE uses a simulation tool to determine how alternative harvest strategies perform given a set of pre-defined fishery and conservation objectives, taking into account the uncertainties in the system and how likely candidate harvest strategies are to achieve the chosen management objectives. An additional benefit of MSE is the potential to analyse scenarios that define a specific portion of the uncertainty or may be outside of the identified uncertainty to understand the management implications if the future did not follow past realizations.

The MSE uses an operating model that includes each part of the management cycle: the population and all fisheries, management decisions, the monitoring program, the estimation model, and potential ecosystem effects using a closed-loop simulation.

MSE is a simulation technique based on modelling the population and fisheries with closed-loop feedback from each part of the management cycle. An operating model (OM) represents aspects that are not controlled by management, such as fishery behavior, recruitment into the population, natural sources of mortality, and potential environmental and ecosystem effects. The management procedure (MP) represents the elements of the decision-



making process, including data collection, estimation models (e.g. stock assessment), and harvest rules such as fishing intensity. The MP also characterizes uncertainty in the decision-making process through sampling error, estimation error, and decision-making variability.

MSE reveals the trade-offs among a range of possible management decisions, given alternative harvest strategies, preferences, and attitudes to risk. The MSE was an essential part of the process of developing, evaluating, and adopting the [IPHC Harvest Strategy Policy](#), and is now used to maintain and update that Harvest Strategy Policy.

The MSE process involves:

- Defining fishery and conservation objectives with the involvement of stakeholders and managers;
- Identifying harvest strategies (a.k.a. management procedures) to evaluate;
- Simulating a Pacific halibut population using those harvest strategies;
- Evaluating and presenting the results in a way that examines trade-offs between objectives;
- Applying a chosen harvest strategy for the management of Pacific halibut;
- Repeating this process in the future in case of changes in objectives, assumptions, or expectations.

There are many research priorities that would continue to improve the MSE framework and the presentation of future results to the Commission; they can be divided into five general categories:

- 1) **Objectives:** The goals and objectives that are used in the evaluation.
- 2) **Management Procedures (MPs):** Specific, well-defined management procedures that can be coded in the MSE framework to produce simulated Total Constant Exploitation Yields (TCEY) for each IPHC Regulatory Area.
- 3) **Framework:** The specifications and computer code for the closed-loop simulations, including the operating model and how it interacts with the MP, specification of uncertainty, and the identification of scenarios.
- 4) **Evaluation:** The performance metrics and presentation of results. This includes how the performance metrics are evaluated (e.g. tables, figures, and rankings), presented to the Commission and its subsidiary bodies, and disseminated for outreach.
- 5) **Application:** Specifications of how an MP may be applied in practice and re-evaluated in the future, including responses to exceptional circumstances.

All these categories provide inputs and outputs of the MSE process, but the Framework category benefits most from the integration of biological and ecosystem research because the operating model, the simulation of the monitoring program, the estimation model, and potential ecosystem effects are determined from this knowledge. Outcomes of the MSE process inform the Commission on updates to the Harvest Strategy Policy.



5.1.3 Biology and Ecology

Focal Area Objective	To identify and assess critical knowledge gaps in the biology and ecology of Pacific halibut within its known range, including the influence of environmental conditions on population and fishery dynamics.
IPHC Website portal	https://www.iphc.int/research/biological-and-ecosystem-science-research/

Since its inception, the IPHC has had a long history of research activities devoted to describing and understanding the biology of and fisheries for the Pacific halibut. At present, the main objectives of the Biological and Ecosystem Science Research activities at the IPHC are to: 1) identify and assess critical knowledge gaps in the biology of the Pacific halibut; 2) understand the influence of environmental conditions in the biology of the Pacific halibut and its fisheries; and 3) apply the resulting knowledge to reduce uncertainty in the stock assessment and MSE.

The primary biological research activities at the IPHC follow Commission objectives, are selected for their important management implications, and are identified and described in this current IRMP. An overarching goal of the IRMP is to promote integration and synergies among the various research activities led by the IPHC to improve our knowledge of key biological inputs that feed into the stock assessment and MSE process. The goals of the main research activities of the IRMP are therefore aligned and integrated with the IPHC stock assessment and MSE processes.

The biological research activities contemplated in the IRMP and their specific aims are detailed in Section 6. Overall, the biological research activities at the IPHC aim to provide information on 1) factors that influence the biomass of the Pacific halibut population (e.g. distribution and movement of fish among IPHC Regulatory Areas, growth patterns and environmental influences on growth in larval, juvenile and adult fish, drivers of changes in size-at-age); 2) the spawning (female) population (e.g. reproductive maturity and fecundity, skipped spawning, reproductive migrations); and 3) resulting changes in population structure and dynamics. Furthermore, the research activities of IPHC also aim to develop and evaluate methods for estimating and reducing incidental mortality of Pacific halibut, to investigate modifications of fishing gear and/or methods to reduce whale depredation and bycatch of non-targeted species, and to investigate changes in the directed Pacific halibut fishery in response to environmental, biological, and technological drivers.

5.2 Monitoring

Focal Area Objective	To collect representative fishery-dependent and fishery-independent data on the distribution, abundance, biology, and demographics of Pacific halibut through ongoing monitoring activities. Monitoring also includes the management and stewardship of these data to ensure their quality, accessibility, and effective use in research and management.
IPHC Website portal	<i>Fishery-dependent data:</i> • https://www.iphc.int/data/time-series-datasets/ • https://www.iphc.int/fisheries/commercial-fisheries/ • https://www.iphc.int/fisheries/recreational-fisheries/ • https://www.iphc.int/fisheries/subsistence-fisheries/ • https://www.iphc.int/fisheries/non-directed-commercial-discard-mortality-fisheries/ <i>Fishery-independent data:</i> • https://www.iphc.int/data/fishery-independent-setline-survey-fiss/



- | | |
|--|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• https://www.iphc.int/data/water-column-profiler-data/ |
|--|---|

5.2.1 Fishery-dependent data

The IPHC estimates the magnitude and demographics of all Pacific halibut removals within the IPHC Convention Area and uses this information in its annual stock assessment and other analyses. These data are collected and compiled by the IPHC Secretariat and include information provided by Federal and State agencies of each Contracting Party. Specific activities in this area are described below.

5.2.1.1 Directed commercial fisheries data

The IPHC Secretariat collects logbooks, otoliths, tissue samples, and associated sex-length-weight data from directed commercial landings coastwide ([Fig. 5](#)). For each IPHC Regulatory Area, a sampling rate is determined by port and calculated annually based on the current year's mortality limits and the estimated proportion of Pacific halibut weight landed and sampled in each port. This ensures that an adequate number of biological samples is collected by IPHC Regulatory Area.

Details on the data collected and sampling methods are provided in the annually updated *IPHC Directed Commercial Landings Sampling Manual* (e.g. for 2026: [IPHC-2026-PSM01](#)). Complementary to these efforts, the IPHC provides training to Tribal commercial fishery stakeholders in IPHC Regulatory Area 2A that supply additional data. In addition, the IPHC Secretariat summarises annually directed commercial fishery landings recorded by Federal and State agencies of each Contracting Party. Discard mortality for the directed commercial fishery is currently estimated using a combination of logbook, research survey, and observer data.

5.2.1.2 Recreational fisheries data

Recreational removals of Pacific halibut, including estimated recreational discard mortality, as well as demographic information (otoliths, sex-length-weight) where available, are provided by Federal and State agencies of each Contracting Party. These data are compiled annually for use in the stock assessment and other analyses.

5.2.1.3 Subsistence fisheries data

Subsistence fisheries refer to non-commercial, customary, and traditional use of Pacific halibut for direct personal, family, or community consumption, sharing as food, or customary trade. The primary subsistence fisheries include:

- the Treaty Indian Ceremonial and Subsistence fishery in IPHC Regulatory Area 2A off northwest Washington State (USA),
- the First Nations Food, Social, and Ceremonial (FSC) fishery in British Columbia (Canada), and
- the subsistence fishery in Alaska (USA), carried out by rural residents and federally recognised Native Tribes under the Subsistence Halibut Registration Certificate (SHARC) program.

Subsistence fishery removals of Pacific halibut, including estimated subsistence discard mortality, are provided by State and Federal agencies of each Contracting Party. These data are compiled annually for use in the stock assessment and other analyses.

5.2.1.4 Non-directed commercial discard mortality data

Non-directed commercial discard mortality estimates and associated demographic data (primarily length frequencies) by IPHC Regulatory Area and sector are provided by State and Federal agencies of each Contracting Party and compiled annually for use in the stock assessment and other analyses.



Non-directed commercial discard mortality of Pacific halibut is estimated because Pacific halibut are encountered in fisheries that do not permit their retention, and not all discarded Pacific halibut are assumed to die. In most fisheries, non-directed commercial discard mortality is estimated directly using data from observer programs operated by Contracting Party agencies. In cases where observer data are unavailable, estimates are based on non-IPHC research surveys or other sources.




Figure 5. Ports where the IPHC has sampled directed commercial landings throughout the fishing period in recent years (note: ports sampled may change from year to year for operational reasons).

5.2.2 Fishery-independent data

Data collection and monitoring activities aimed at providing a standardised time-series of biological and ecological data that is independent of the fishing fleet.

5.2.2.1 Fishery-independent setline survey (FISS)

The IPHC Fishery-Independent Setline Survey (FISS) provides catch-rate information and biological data on Pacific halibut that are independent of the fisheries. These data, collected using standardised methods, bait, and gear, are used to estimate the primary index of population abundance used in the stock assessment. The FISS is restricted to the summer months but encompasses almost all known Pacific halibut habitat in Convention waters outside the Bering Sea, including the commercial fishing grounds in the Pacific halibut fishery. The standard FISS grid totals 1,890 stations from which a subset is sampled each year ([Fig. 6](#)).

Biological data collected on the FISS (e.g. the length, weight, age, and sex of Pacific halibut) are used to monitor changes in year-class strength, biomass, growth, and mortality. Tissue samples are collected from all Pacific halibut sampled by the FISS for use in genetic and other analyses. In addition, records of non-target species caught during FISS operations provide the basis for estimating bait competition and are used to index species abundance over time, making them valuable to the potential management and avoidance of non-target species. Environmental



data are also collected, including water column temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, pH, and chlorophyll concentration, to help identify the conditions in which the fish were caught, and these data can serve as covariates in space-time modeling used in the stock assessment. An example of the data collected and the methods used is provided in the annually updated FISS sampling manual (e.g. IPHC FISS Sampling Manual 2025: [IPHC-2025-VSM01](#)).




Figure 6. IPHC Fishery-Independent Setline Survey (FISS) with full sampling grid and charter regions.

Following a program of planned FISS expansions from 2014-19, a process of rationalisation of the annual FISS designs was undertaken. Currently, sampled stations are prioritised each year so that coastwide, Biological Region- and IPHC Regulatory Area-specific density indices will be estimated with high precision and low potential for bias. Based on funding and previous FISS results, potential FISS designs for the subsequent three years are evaluated. The resulting proposed designs and their evaluation are presented for review at the June Scientific Review Board (SRB) meetings and modified following SRB input and in-year FISS sampling results before presentation to the Commissioners at the Work Meeting and Interim Meeting. Annual biological sampling rates for each IPHC Regulatory Area are calculated based on the previous year's catch rates and an annual target of 2000 sampled fish (with 100 additional archive samples).

5.2.2.2 Fishery-independent Trawl Survey (FITS)

The IPHC relies on the NOAA Fisheries trawl surveys operating in the Bering Sea ([Fig. 7](#)), Aleutian Islands and Gulf of Alaska. The information collected from Pacific halibut caught on the Bering Sea trawl survey, together



with data from the IPHC Fishery-Independent Setline Survey (FISS) is used in estimating indices of abundance, while data from all three trawl surveys are used to monitor population demographics.




Figure 7. Representative sampling design for the NOAA Bering Sea bottom trawl survey. Black dots are stations sampled in the 2018 Northern Bering Sea trawl survey and black plus signs are stations sampled in prior Northern Bering Sea trawl surveys.

5.2.2.3 Norton Sound trawl survey

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game’s annual Norton Sound trawl survey data are also used in the estimation of Pacific halibut indices of abundance in IPHC Regulatory Area 4CDE.

5.2.3 Age composition data (both fishery-dependent and fishery-independent)

Biological samples collected annually from commercial fisheries and FISS include otoliths, crystalline calcium carbonate structures found in the inner ear of fish whose growth patterns can be analysed to estimate the age of fish. Fish age is a key input to stock assessment models that inform management decisions related to fish exploitation and harvest strategies. Since its inception, the IPHC has aged over 1.5 million otoliths by trained readers under the stereoscopic microscope.

The IPHC Secretariat continues to age otoliths manually to provide the high-quality age estimates for the stock assessment. However, substantial progress has now been made toward an AI-assisted workflow. A deep-ensemble convolutional neural network (CNN) model has been developed and trained on otolith images. Through an iterative fine-tuning process, the model progressively improves predictive accuracy. The deep ensemble approach also provides uncertainty estimates, allowing low-confidence predictions to be flagged for expert review. This facilitates a mixed-method protocol where high-confidence estimates are fast-tracked while manual verification is retained for the remainder.



In addition to AI-based methods, the IPHC is exploring epigenetic ageing that may offer comparable precision to traditional human-read methods, potentially expanding the toolkit for robust and scalable age estimation in the future.

5.2.4 Data management and storage

Monitoring data collected through the IPHC's programs are subject to standardised data management procedures, including quality assurance and quality control, documentation, and secure storage. These procedures ensure that fishery-dependent and fishery-independent datasets are accurate, consistent, and traceable over time. Data are maintained in IPHC databases and are curated to support ongoing monitoring activities, stock assessment, and other scientific analyses.

Where appropriate, datasets and derived products are made available through IPHC data portals, reports, and other dissemination mechanisms. Effective data stewardship supports transparency, facilitates collaboration with Contracting Party domestic agencies and research partners, and ensures that monitoring data remain accessible and usable for scientific research and fisheries management decision-making.

5.3 Management-supporting information

To support science-based decision-making and advance the Commission's objective of developing the Pacific halibut stock to the level that permits the optimum yield from the fishery over time, the IPHC Secretariat undertakes a range of supplementary analyses that provide direct input into management procedures and policy evaluations. These efforts complement the stock assessment and biological data streams by addressing specific questions raised by the Commission, domestic agencies, and other stakeholders.

In recent years, the IPHC Secretariat has undertaken a project evaluating Pacific halibut multiregional economic impact, illustrating economic interdependencies between sectors and regions to bring a better understanding of the role and importance of the Pacific halibut resource to regional economies of Canada and the United States of America. Other work has focused on regulatory questions, such as evaluating size limits and associated tradeoffs between yield optimisation, reducing discards, and economic outcomes, as well as assessing the socioeconomic and logistical challenges of implementing year-round fishing.

The IPHC Secretariat remains well-positioned to respond to requests from the Commission or Contracting Parties for technical support on a broad range of management-relevant topics. These may include, among others, socioeconomic considerations, community development, political constraints, or logistical feasibility analyses to inform emerging policy needs. Such analyses are developed collaboratively, leverage a range of available data sources and partners, and can be tailored to specific regulatory or planning contexts.

6. Core focal areas – Planned and opportunistic activities

The IPHC Secretariat works with IPHC advisory bodies and the Commission to identify research priorities and refine hypotheses. This process occurs via an annual schedule of meetings, as shown in [Fig. 8](#). The Management Strategy Advisory Board (MSAB) typically meets once a year. Recommendations related to the MSE and the Harvest Strategy Policy are then directed to the Commission. The SRB holds two meetings each year: one in June, where requests are typically directed to the IPHC Secretariat, and one in September, where recommendations are made to the Commission. The June SRB meeting has a focus on research; the September meeting represents a final check of science products to be presented to the Commission for use in management. The Research Advisory Board (RAB) meets in November to discuss ongoing research, provide guidance, and recommend new research projects. The Work Meeting (WM) is held in September to allow the IPHC Secretariat and the Commission to prepare for the Interim Meeting (IM) held in November and the Annual Meeting (AM) held in January. Outcomes from the AM include mortality limits (coastwide and by IPHC Regulatory Area),



directed fishery commercial fishing period dates, domestic regulations, and requests and recommendations for the IPHC Secretariat. In conjunction with the AM are meetings of the Finance and Administration Committee (FAC), the Conference Board (CB), and the Processor Advisory Board (PAB). The Commission may also hold Special Sessions (SS) throughout the year to take up and make decisions on specific topics.




Figure 8. The typical IPHC annual meeting schedule with the calendar year and fiscal year shown. The meetings, shown in the middle row are: Annual Meeting where the Commission makes many final decisions for that year (AM), an MSE informational session (MSE), Scientific Review Board meetings (SRB), the Commission Work Meeting (WM), the Management Strategy Advisory Board meeting (MSAB), the Research Advisory Board Meeting (RAB), and the Interim Meeting (IM). The annual FISS schedule is also shown.

In addition to the annual meeting process at IPHC, individual core focal areas of research may identify and prioritise research for other core focal areas. For example, stock assessment research often identifies gaps in the knowledge of Pacific halibut biology and ecology, which then motivates priority research for the Biology and Ecology core area. Vice versa, basic biological and ecological research can identify concepts that could be better understood and result in improved implementation in any of the core areas. Furthermore, Management Strategy Evaluation can be used to identify priority research topics for any core areas by simulation testing hypotheses to identify research that may have the largest benefit to improving the management of Pacific halibut.

The top priorities of research for various categories in each of the core focal areas are provided below. The top priorities are a subset of the potential research topics in each core focal area. More exhaustive and up-to-date lists of research topics can be found in recent meeting documents related to each core focal area.

6.1 Research

6.1.1 Stock Assessment

Within the three assessment research categories, the following topics have been identified as top priorities in order to focus attention on their importance for the stock assessment and management of Pacific halibut. A brief narrative is provided here to highlight the specific use of products from these studies in the stock assessment. More extensive lists of research topics are produced every three years as part of each full stock assessment analysis.

6.1.1.1 Stock Assessment data collection and processing

6.1.1.1.1 Commercial fishery sex-ratio-at-age via genetics

Commercial fishery sex-ratio information has been found through sensitivity analyses to be closely correlated with the absolute scale of the population estimates in the stock assessment and has been identified as the greatest



source of uncertainty since 2013. With only a short time-series (2017-24) of commercial sex-ratio-at-age information available for the 2025 stock assessment, the annual genetic assay of fin clips sampled from the landings remains critically important. When the time series grows longer, it may be advantageous to determine the ideal frequency at which these assays need to be conducted. This assessment priority directly informs *6.1.3.2 Reproduction* as described below.

6.1.1.1.2 Whale depredation accounting and tools for avoidance

Whale depredation represents a source of unobserved and unaccounted-for mortality in the assessment and management of Pacific halibut. Stock assessment sensitivity analyses have shown that unobserved mortality can result in stock assessment bias and that trends in unobserved mortality may affect current status estimates. Reduction of depredation mortality through improved fishery avoidance and/or catch protection would be a preferable extension and/or solution to methods for estimation. As such, research to provide the fishery with tools to reduce depredation is considered a high priority. This assessment priority directly informs *6.1.3.4.2 Fishing Innovations* as described below.

6.1.1.2 Stock Assessment technical development

6.1.1.2.1 Maintaining coordination with the MSE

The stock assessment and MSE operating models have been developed in close coordination in order to identify plausible hypotheses regarding the processes governing Pacific halibut population dynamics. Important aspects of Pacific halibut dynamics include recruitment (possibly related to extrinsic environmental factors in addition to spawning biomass), size-at-age, movement/migration, and spatial patterns in fishery catchability and selectivity. Many approaches developed as part of the tactical stock assessment have been explored in the MSE operating model, and conversely, the MSE operating model has highlighted areas of data uncertainty or alternative hypotheses for exploration in the assessment (e.g. movement rates). Although these two modelling efforts target differing objectives (tactical vs. strategic), continued coordination is essential to ensure that the stock assessment and the MSE represent the Pacific halibut stock similarly and provide consistent and useful advice for tactical and strategic decision-making.

6.1.1.2.2 Estimation of natural mortality

The stock assessment has been shown to be extremely sensitive to the value of natural mortality. The current approach uses four separate models to estimate management quantities, with three of these models estimating natural mortality directly from the data and one using a fixed historical assumption. Further work to determine the conditions under which natural mortality is estimable in the fourth model and plausible ranges of values for this parameter could reduce perceived and actual uncertainty in the stock assessment and the management information arising from it. As time-series of critically informative data sources like the FISS and the sex-ratio of the commercial landings grow longer, it may be possible to better integrate this source of uncertainty into the stock assessment ensemble.

6.1.1.2.3 Development of state-space models

The IPHC has relied on statistical catch-at-age models for most of its stock assessment history (Stewart and Martell 2014). New programming environments (e.g., TMB; Kristensen et al. 2016) have led to an increased use of state-space models for stock assessment (e.g. SAM, WHAM; Nielsen and Berg 2014; Nielsen et al. 2021; Stock and Miller 2021). These models provide extremely efficient capabilities for modelling random effects and sparse matrices. As the Pacific halibut stock assessment models include time-varying processes (i.e. recruitment, selectivity, and catchability), it would be ideal to treat them as random effects, rather than using the penalised likelihood approach currently employed. Although few such applications include sex-specific dynamics that can



accommodate the necessary dimorphic growth capability to be applicable to Pacific halibut, development of a state-space model for Pacific halibut is prioritised in this research plan.

6.1.1.3 Stock Assessment biological inputs

6.1.1.3.1 Maturity, skip-spawning, and fecundity

Management of Pacific halibut is currently based on reference points that rely on relative female spawning biomass. Therefore, any changes to the understanding of reproductive output – either across age/size (maturity), over time (skip spawning), or as a function of body mass (fecundity) are crucially important. Each of these components directly affects the annual reproductive output estimated in the assessment. Ideally, the IPHC would have a program in place to monitor each of these three reproductive processes over time and use that information in the estimation of the stock-recruitment relationship and the annual reproductive output relative to reference points. This would reduce the potential for biased time-series estimates created by non-stationarity in these traits (illustrated via sensitivity analyses in several of the recent assessments). Building on the success of the previous research plan, we now have an updated maturity relationship included in the 2025 stock assessment. Moving forward, we will extend that research to include an updated fecundity relationship and an investigation of the potential for skip-spawning. After updated stock-wide estimates have been achieved, a program for extending this information to a time-series via transition from research to monitoring can be developed. This assessment priority directly informs 6.1.3.2 *Reproduction* as described below.

6.1.1.3.2 Factors affecting size-at-age

Changes in size-at-age, along with recruitment, have been the largest contributors to the historical trends in biomass and fishery yield from the Pacific halibut stock. The relative role of potential factors underlying changes in size-at-age is not currently understood. Delineating between competition, density dependence, environmental effects, size-selective fishing, and other factors could allow improved prediction of size-at-age under future conditions and a better understanding of how management can adapt to changing trends.

6.1.2 Management Strategy Evaluation

MSE priorities have been subdivided into three categories: 1) biological parameterisation, 2) fishery parameterisation, and 3) technical development. Research provides specifications for the MSE simulations, such as inputs to the Operating Model (OM), but another important outcome of the research is to define the range of plausibility to include in the MSE simulations as a measure of uncertainty. The following topics have been identified as top priorities.

6.1.2.1 MSE biological and population parameterisation

6.1.2.1.1 Movement, distribution of life stages, and spatial spawning patterns

Research topics in this category will mainly inform parameterisation of movement in the OM but will also provide further understanding of Pacific halibut movement, connectivity, and temporal variability. This knowledge may also be used to refine specific MSE objectives. For example, further research into sub-population structure and connections between those sub-populations would provide an understanding of the importance of spatial heterogeneity in the Pacific halibut population. This includes the identification of important spawning locations, connections between spawning areas, spawning area contributions to juvenile distribution, temporal variability in spawning and recruitment, ontogenetic movement, and the importance of spawning locations to a sustainable population and efficient fisheries across the IPHC Convention Area. Larval and juvenile distribution is a main source of uncertainty in the OM and continued research in this area will improve the OM and provide justification



for parameterising temporal variability. Outcomes may also provide information on recruitment strength and the relationship with environmental factors. For example, recent work by Sadorus et al (2021) used biophysical and spatio-temporal models to examine connectivity across the Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska. Furthermore, improved understanding of the distribution of adults resulting from ontogenetic movement will assist with conditioning the OM, verify patterns simulated from the OM, and provide information to develop reasonable sensitivity scenarios to test the robustness of MPs. Research under Section 6.1.3.1 will inform this MSE priority.

6.1.2.1.2 Understanding growth variation

Changes in the average weight-at-age of Pacific halibut is one of the major drivers of changes in biomass over time. The OM currently simulates temporal changes in weight-at-age via a random autocorrelated process which is unrelated to population size or environmental factors. Ongoing research in drivers related to growth in Pacific halibut will help to improve the simulation of weight-at-age. Research under Section 6.1.3.3 will inform this MSE priority.

6.1.2.1.3 Understanding the effects of productivity scenarios

Pacific halibut have experienced a wide range of productivity scenarios, mainly influenced by average recruitment and size-at-age. Understanding the consequences of productivity scenarios on management outcomes will help to understand past observed trends and current experiences, and to identify optimal harvest strategies for the future. Using the MSE to simulate scenarios assuming different productivity regimes can test alternative management procedures to identify a robust management procedure, or possibly differences between them given different productivity. The MSE scenarios would be informed by research described in Sections 6.1.3.1 and 6.1.3.3.

6.1.2.2 MSE fishery parameterisation

The definition of fisheries and their parameterisations in the MSE operating model involved consultation with Pacific halibut stakeholders, but some aspects of those parameterisations would benefit from targeted research. One specific example is knowledge of discarding and discard mortality rates in directed and non-directed fisheries. Discard mortality can be a significant source of fishing mortality in some IPHC Regulatory Areas, and appropriately modelling that mortality will provide a more robust evaluation of MPs. Research under Section 6.1.3.4 will inform this MSE priority.

6.1.2.3 MSE technical development

Technical improvements to the MSE framework will allow for rapid development of alternative operating models and efficient simulation of management strategies for future evaluation and support of the Harvest Strategy Policy. Coordination with the technical development of the stock assessment (Section 6.1.1.2.1) is necessary to ensure consistent assumptions and hypotheses for tactical (i.e. stock assessment) and strategic (i.e. MSE) models. Investigations done in the stock assessment will inform the MSE operating model, which will then inform management and stock assessment development through investigations using the closed-loop simulation framework. MSE development, simulations, and outcomes may also inform further development of the stock assessment. Conducting assessments at intervals longer than annually may allow for additional opportunity to coordinate between stock assessment and MSE.

6.1.2.3.1 Realistic simulations of estimation error

Closed loop simulation uses feedback from the management procedure to update the population in the projections. The management procedure consists of data collection, an estimation model, and harvest rules; currently IPHC uses an ensemble stock assessment, which is difficult to mimic as an estimation model. Future development of an efficient simulation process to mimic the stock assessment will more realistically represent the current



management process. This involves using multiple estimation models to represent the ensemble and appropriately adding data and updating those models in the simulated projections. Improvements to the current MSE framework include adding additional estimation models to better represent the ensemble stock assessment, ensuring that the simulated estimation model accurately represents the stock assessment now and in the future, and incorporate efficiencies to speed up the simulation process.

6.1.2.3.2 Incorporate additional sources of implementation uncertainty

Implementation uncertainty consists of three subcategories: 1) decision-making uncertainty, 2) realised uncertainty, and 3) perceived uncertainty. Decision-making uncertainty is the difference between mortality limits determined from the management procedure and those adopted by the Commission. This uncertainty is currently implemented in the MSE framework but improvements could be made. Realised uncertainty is the difference between the mortality limit set by the Commission and the actual mortality realised by the various fisheries. This type of uncertainty is currently partially implemented in the MSE framework. Finally, perceived uncertainty is the difference between the realised mortality and the estimated mortality limits from the various fisheries, which would be used in the estimation model. This third type of implementation uncertainty has not been implemented in the MSE framework and relies on improvements to the estimation model (Section 6.1.2.3.1). Improving the implementation of decision-making uncertainty is a priority for the MSE and will assist in understanding the performance of management procedures given the flexibility desired by the Commission.

6.1.2.4 Potential Future MSE projects

Management Strategy Evaluation is an iterative process where new management procedures may be evaluated, current management procedures may be re-evaluated under different assumptions, and the understanding of the population, environment, and fisheries may be updated with new information stemming from the stock assessment and biological/ecological research. The current research priorities focus on technical development, but various elements of Management Procedures will likely be of interest once technical improvements are made. The research being done now will inform the development of the MSE in the future to ensure a robust evaluation of any management procedure.

6.1.3 Biology and Ecology

Capitalising on the outcomes of the first 5-year plan (IPHC-2019-BESRP-5YP), the second 5-year plan (IPHC-2022-5YPIRM) developed five research areas to provide key inputs for stock assessment and the MSE process. In addition to linking genetics and genomics with migration and distribution studies in the area of Migration and Population Dynamics, a novel research area on Fishing Technology was incorporated in the IPHC-2023-5YPIRM. The outcomes of IPHC-2023-5YPIRM are provided in [Appendix I](#), and the resulting peer-reviewed publications are provided in [Appendix III](#). The present plan (IPHC-2026-5YPIRM) describes the continuation of these five research areas into the next phase of management-serving research goals, with Fishing Technology being incorporated into a new research area that includes Mortality Estimations and Fishery Practices and Behavior. A series of key objectives for each of the five research areas has been identified that integrate with specific needs for stock assessment and MSE processes and that are ranked according to their relevance ([Appendix IV](#) and [Appendix V](#), respectively). To further describe the IPHC Secretariat's rationale for establishing research priorities, a ranked list of biological uncertainties and parameters for stock assessment and the MSE process, and their links to research activities and outcomes derived from the IRMP are also provided.

6.1.3.1 Migration and Population Dynamics

Studies aimed at improving current knowledge of Pacific halibut distribution and population dynamics throughout all life stages in order to achieve a complete understanding of stock structure and distribution across the entire range of Pacific halibut in the North Pacific Ocean and the biotic and abiotic factors that influence it through



multiple approaches. Specific objectives in this area include:

- Integrate analyses of Pacific halibut population dynamics, connectivity, and distribution changes by incorporating genomic approaches.
- Improve our understanding of the influences of oceanographic and environmental variation on connectivity, population structure, and adaptation at a genomic level using seascape genomics approaches.
- Improve our understanding of migration and basin-wide population structure between the Eastern and Western components of the Pacific halibut stock in the North Pacific Ocean.
- Improve our understanding of the contribution of known and putative (e.g. Washington coast) spawning areas to nursery/settlement areas in relation to year-class, recruit survival and strength, juvenile genetic diversity, and environmental conditions in the North Pacific Ocean.
- Improve our understanding of the relationship between the presence of juveniles in mapped nursery/settlement areas and adult distribution and abundance over temporal and spatial scales.
- Build upon the current conceptual model of Pacific halibut movement through a synthetic analysis of existing tagging data.
- Apply methods for individual identification based on computer-assisted tail image matching systems as an alternative for traditional mark and recapture tagging.

Horizon scan:

- Evaluate the potential use of environmental DNA (eDNA) for improving current understanding of Pacific halibut distribution and assist with mapping of juvenile habitat.
- Examine the feasibility of close-kin mark-recapture-based approaches to improve estimates of population size, migration rates among geographical regions, and demographic parameters (e.g. fecundity-at-age, natural mortality).

6.1.3.2 Reproduction

Studies aimed primarily at addressing several critical issues for stock assessment analysis based on estimates of female spawning biomass: 1) the sex ratio of the commercial catch; 2) revised maturity estimates, and 3) fecundity estimates. Specific objectives in this area include:

- Continued temporal and spatial analysis of female histology-based maturity-at-age estimates: identification of potential drivers (e.g. environmental, etc.) of temporal and spatial changes in maturity schedules.
- Develop and validate methods for fecundity estimations based on the auto-diametric method applied to other species.
- Provide estimates of fecundity-at-age and fecundity-at-size.
- Investigate the possible presence of skip spawning in Pacific halibut females.
- Improve accuracy in the current staging criteria of maturity status used in the field.
- Investigate possible environmental effects on the ontogenetic establishment of the phenotypic sex and their influence on sex ratios in the adult Pacific halibut population.
- Improve our understanding of the genetic basis of variation in age and/or size-at-maturity, fecundity, and spawning timing, by conducting genome-wide association studies.



- Characterise the temporal progression of reproductive development and gamete production throughout an entire annual reproductive cycle in male Pacific halibut.

6.1.3.3 Growth and size-at-age

Studies aimed at describing the role of factors responsible for the observed changes in size-at-age and at evaluating growth and physiological condition in Pacific halibut. Specific objectives in this area include:

- Investigate the effects of environmental and ecological conditions driving size-at-age and somatic growth in Pacific halibut.
- Investigate the influence of early growth (e.g. juveniles) in determining growth patterns during adulthood. Analysis of NMFS trawl data and investigation of potential early life regulatory mechanisms (e.g. epigenetic, etc.) that direct adult growth patterns.
- Investigate variation in somatic growth patterns in Pacific halibut as informed by physiological growth markers, physiological condition, energy content, and dietary influences.
- Evaluate the relationship between somatic growth, temperature, and trophic histories in Pacific halibut through the integrated use of physiological growth markers (e.g. gene expression, stable isotope profiles).
- Develop a non-invasive alternative method for aging Pacific halibut based on genetic analyses of DNA methylation patterns in tissues (fin clips). Development of an epigenetic clock and possible insights into the aging process/senescence in Pacific halibut.
- Improve our understanding of the genetic basis of variation in somatic growth and size-at-age by conducting genome-wide association studies.
- Explore emerging technological advances in genome sequencing that produce genomic and epigenetic data (e.g. PacBio, Oxford Nanopore) to assist in understanding the genetic and epigenetic basis of growth.
- Investigate the feasibility of otolith (or eye lens lamina) growth increment analyses for reconstructing individual growth histories in Pacific halibut.

Horizon scan:

- Investigate dietary composition in stomachs through metabarcoding (i.e. molecular identification of prey items in stomach contents).
- Investigate liver parasite loading and its effect on physiological conditions in Pacific halibut

6.1.3.4 Fishery dynamics and fishing technology

6.1.3.4.1. Mortality estimations. Studies aimed at developing and evaluating methods for estimating and reducing incidental mortality of Pacific halibut. Specific objectives in this area include:

- Incorporate experimentally-derived discard mortality rate data in the recreational fishery (based on research conducted under IPHC-2023-5YPIRM) into management.
- Review status of discard mortality rate (DMR) research conducted by the IPHC: synthesis paper of experimentally-derived DMR for Pacific halibut in different fisheries, with future research avenues and management recommendations.
- Investigate the application of electronic monitoring and AI-based analyses of discards for mortality estimations.



- Investigate new methods (e.g. AI-based) for improved estimation of depredation mortality from marine mammals.
- Support and collaborate in efforts to reduce Pacific halibut bycatch in other fisheries
- Investigate potential biological and ecological causes of mortality in Pacific halibut.

6.1.3.4.2. Fishing innovations. Studies investigating modifications of fishing gear/methods with the purpose of reducing depredation of Pacific halibut by toothed whales and reducing bycatch of non-targeted species. Specific objectives in this area include:

- Prepare a review paper summarising past and present directed (fixed) gear-related research by the IPHC.
- Investigate methods for whale avoidance and/or deterrence for the reduction of Pacific halibut depredation by whales (e.g. catch protection methods, pots).
- Investigate physiological and behavioral responses of Pacific halibut to fishing gear in order to increase the catch and reduce bycatch of non-targeted species: influence of lights on fishing gear, hook size, design or modification, pots, etc.

6.1.3.4.3. Fishery practices and behavior. Studies aimed at investigating changes in the directed Pacific halibut fishery in response to environmental, biological, and technological drivers. Specific objectives in this area include:

- Investigations into the interaction between climate change and fishing patterns
- Evaluations of the effects of sand fleas- and dogfish-prevalent areas on longline fisheries
- Tradeoffs of snap, fixed, and Autoline gear use on fishery efficiency.

6.2 Monitoring

The Commission's monitoring programs continue to include both direct data collection by the IPHC Secretariat and coordination with domestic agencies to generate comprehensive fishery-dependent and fishery-independent information on Pacific halibut stock and fishery trends. These critical sources include estimates of fishing mortality across all fisheries encountering Pacific halibut, biological sampling from these fisheries, as well as catch rates and biological sampling from longline and trawl surveys. Monitoring data will continue to underpin the stock assessment and MSE process, support numerous biological research studies, and inform the decision-making process ([Fig. 4](#)).

Over the coming years, monitoring activities will also focus on strengthening data management systems, improving data accessibility, and ensuring that monitoring programs remain aligned with emerging research priorities and management needs. Periodic reviews of monitoring programs and associated data systems will support the identification of gaps, the prioritization of improvements, and the integration of new technologies and analytical approaches.

6.2.1 Fishery-dependent data

The IPHC Secretariat will continue collecting fishery-dependent data from the directed commercial fishery, with a focus on maintaining adequate spatial and temporal coverage of catch, effort, and biological data. Coordination with Tribal, State and Federal agencies will continue to support the standardisation of data collection protocols, increase data collection capacity, improve reporting consistency, and help identify and fill data gaps that may impact stock assessment and management.



Collaborative work with commercial stakeholders will continue to advance the use of electronic logbooks, which were introduced in 2023, to enhance the accuracy, timeliness, and efficiency of data submission. Further development of digital quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) systems will strengthen data integrity, ease operational demands, and increase the capacity of IPHC Secretariat for other advancements.

Future efforts will also include a comprehensive review and audit of existing monitoring databases and associated workflows. This work will aim to modernise data storage structures, improve interoperability among datasets, and implement new tools that reduce the potential for reporting errors while facilitating more efficient data access and analysis. These improvements will support more timely data availability for stock assessment, MSE analyses, and other research applications.

Annual reviews of sampling distribution across ports, data collection methods, sampling rates, and QA/QC procedures will continue, including in-season evaluations of port sampling coverage. These initiatives aim to ensure that data collection continues to support stock assessment, MSE, and management needs, while integrating relevant research findings into long-term monitoring strategies.

6.2.2 Fishery-independent setline survey (FISS)

An annual review process for the FISS station design has been developed ([Fig. 9](#)) and is expected to continue in the coming years. This process involves scientific review of proposed FISS designs by the Scientific Review Board and includes input from stakeholders prior to review and approval of designs by the Commissioners.

Sample rates for genetic monitoring will need to be determined for future sampling. Sampling rates of otoliths for aging, archive otoliths, and tagged fish will continue to be reviewed annually to ensure the data needs of the IPHC stock assessment and research program are met. Annual FISS sampler training and data QA/QC (including at the point of data collection and during post-sampling review) will ensure high-quality data from the FISS program.




Figure 9. Timeline of annual FISS design review process.

6.2.2.1 Fishery-independent Trawl Survey (FITS)

The IPHC will continue to collaborate with NMFS on sampling procedures for Pacific halibut and on the placement of an IPHC sampler onboard a survey vessel for the collection of biological data.



6.2.3 Ageing methods (both fishery-dependent and fishery-independent)

6.2.3.1. Application of artificial intelligence (AI) for determining the age of fish from images of collected otoliths.

Progress in applying AI for determining the age of Pacific halibut from images of collected otoliths presents both opportunities and challenges, particularly in balancing gains in efficiency with the need to maintain data integrity and spatiotemporal consistency.

Future development will include the evaluation of candidate AI-based ageing methods that combine automated predictions with varying levels of manual verification. These approaches may differ in the proportion of AI-derived ages accepted without review or subjected to manual confirmation. A cost-benefit analysis will compare these candidate protocols with the current manual ageing process, considering labor requirements, processing time, operational costs, and implications for age composition estimates used in the stock assessment.

Before AI-derived ages can be incorporated into routine assessment inputs, additional methodological work will be required to develop imprecision matrices for candidate AI ageing approaches and compare them with existing break-and-bake and surface ageing matrices. These matrices will allow evaluation of the accuracy and precision of AI-based age estimates relative to traditional ageing methods and will support development of a testing framework for assessing their performance within the stock assessment model.

Testing within the stock assessment will likely involve split-sample or hybrid approaches in which AI-derived ages are incorporated alongside manually aged samples. Alternative scenarios may evaluate different proportions of AI-derived ages and different durations of AI-based ageing within the time series. This approach will help determine how varying levels of AI integration influence estimated year-class strength, population trends, and management quantities used to inform mortality limit decisions.

Further research will also evaluate the spatial and temporal generalization of AI models, particularly when predictions are applied to regions or years that are underrepresented in the training dataset. Additional improvements may be achieved through the incorporation of relevant covariates, such as fish sex, location, or date collected, to improve model performance and reduce prediction uncertainty. Maintaining a subset of manually aged otoliths will remain important during this transition period to support model validation, maintain training datasets, and ensure continuity with the historical age series.

Through continued development, testing, and review in collaboration with the Scientific Review Board, AI-assisted ageing methods may provide a scalable and efficient complement to traditional ageing protocols while maintaining the reliability of age data used in Pacific halibut stock assessment and management.

6.2.3.2. Application of an epigenetic clock for aging Pacific halibut using fin clips.

Epigenetic aging is a genetic method for aging that is based on the fact that methylation patterns on genomic DNA change predictably with age. Therefore, age-associated DNA methylation patterns can be modelled to generate molecular (i.e., epigenetic) age predictors capable of estimating chronological age with high accuracy. These are referred to as “epigenetic clocks” and can be developed from DNA isolated from any tissue, including non-lethal biological samples, such as a fin clip.

The objective of this project is to develop an epigenetic clock for Pacific halibut using fin clips from Pacific halibut of known ages. The specific objectives are (1) to identify DNA methylation signals in Pacific halibut fin tissue, (2) to develop an age prediction model based on age-associated DNA methylation patterns, and (3) to develop a targeted assay with selected age-associated epigenetic markers for cost-effective, high-throughput age estimations in Pacific halibut. Once this objective is met, this IRMP will be updated to describe how this ageing method would be investigated for potential inclusion into the stock assessment, similar to that described for AI ageing above.



6.3 Management-supporting information

6.3.1 Potential of integrating human dynamics into management decision-making

Effective Pacific halibut management requires understanding not only biological stock dynamics, but also the human dimensions that shape fishery outcomes (Lane and Stephenson 1995). As new technologies such as AI, digital logbooks, and real-time monitoring evolve, so too does the potential to integrate human behavior, economic dependencies, and community-level impacts into the management framework.

Recent socioeconomic analyses conducted by the IPHC highlight disparities in how different regions and user groups benefit from Pacific halibut fisheries, and how external forces such as shifting markets and climate change can amplify these differences (Cheung and Frölicher 2020). Recognising these factors can improve both the fairness and resilience of fishery policies.

Looking ahead, the IPHC Secretariat aims to be prepared to integrate human dynamics, such as fleet behavior, market access, or social vulnerability, into stock assessment and MSE, where such complementary analyses may add value to the decision-making process (Lynch et al. 2018). This may include linking fishery performance metrics to socioeconomic indicators or exploring how alternative management scenarios affect community and fisher behavior. These efforts will ensure that science-based advice not only supports biological sustainability but is also responsive to the evolving realities of people and communities who depend on the resource.

7. Amendment

As with the previous two (2) plans, the IPHC Secretariat intends to maintain this IRMP document as a ‘*living plan*’, subject to annual reviews and updates as necessary. Revisions will reflect evolving priorities, resources available to undertake the work (e.g. internal and external fiscal resources, collaborations, internal expertise), and emerging opportunities. The IPHC Secretariat remains committed to transparency and to upholding the principles of open science in the development and implementation of this plan.

8. References

- Bravington, M.V., Skaug, H.J., and Anderson, E.C. 2016. Close-Kin Mark-Recapture. *Statistical Science* 31(2): 259-274. doi:10.1214/16-sts552.
- Cheung, William W.L., and Thomas L. Frölicher. 2020. “Marine Heatwaves Exacerbate Climate Change Impacts for Fisheries in the Northeast Pacific.” *Scientific Reports* 10 (1): 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-63650-z>.
- Clark, W.G., Hare, S.R., Parma, A.M., Sullivan, P.J., and Trumble, R.J. 1999. Decadal changes in growth and recruitment of Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 56: 242-252.
- Drinan, D.P., Galindo, H.M., Loher, T., and Hauser, L. 2016. Subtle genetic population structure in Pacific halibut *Hippoglossus stenolepis*. *J Fish Biol* 89(6): 2571-2594. doi:10.1111/jfb.13148.
- Francis, R.I.C.C. 2011. Data weighting in statistical fisheries stock assessment models. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 68: 1124-1138.
- Kristensen, K., Nielsen, A., Berg, C.W., Skaug, H., and Bell, B.M. 2016. TMB: Automatic Differentiation and Laplace Approximation. *Journal of Statistical Software* 70(5). doi:10.18637/jss.v070.i05.
- Lane, Daniel E, and R L Stephenson. 1995. “Fisheries Management Science: The Framework to Link Biological, Economic, and Social Objectives in Fisheries Management.” *Aquatic Living Resources* 8 (3): 215–21.
- Leaman, B.M., and Stewart, I.J. 2017. 2.12 Research basis for estimated discard mortality rates used for Pacific



- halibut in longline and trawl fisheries. IPHC Report of Assessment and Research Activities 2016: 133-172.
- Lynch, Patrick D, Richard D Methot, and Jason S Link. 2018. "Implementing a Next Generation Stock Assessment Enterprise: Policymakers' Summary." NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-F/SPO-183.
- Mantua, N.J., Hare, S.R., Zhang, Y., Wallace, J.R., and Francis, R.C. 1997. A Pacific interdecadal climate oscillation with impacts on salmon production. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 78(6): 1069-1079.
- Nielsen, A., and Berg, C.W. 2014. Estimation of time-varying selectivity in stock assessments using state-space models. *Fisheries Research* **158**: 96-101. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2014.01.014.
- Nielsen, A., Hintzen, N.T., Mosegaard, H., Trijoulet, V., Berg, C.W., and Subbey, S. 2021. Multi-fleet state-space assessment model strengthens confidence in single-fleet SAM and provides fleet-specific forecast options. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **78**(6): 2043-2052. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsab078.
- Sadorus, Lauri L., Esther D. Goldstein, Raymond A. Webster, William T. Stockhausen, Josep V. Planas, and Janet T. Duffy-Anderson. 2021. "Multiple life-stage connectivity of Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) across the Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska." *Fisheries Oceanography* 30 (2): 174-193. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fog.12512>
- Stewart, I.J., and Martell, S.J.D. 2014. A historical review of selectivity approaches and retrospective patterns in the Pacific halibut stock assessment. *Fisheries Research* **158**: 40-49. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2013.09.012.
- Stock, B.C., and Miller, T.J. 2021. The Woods Hole Assessment Model (WHAM): A general state-space assessment framework that incorporates time- and age-varying processes via random effects and links to environmental covariates. *Fisheries Research* **240**. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2021.105967.
- Webster, R.A., Clark, W.G., Leaman, B.M., and Forsberg, J.E. 2013. Pacific halibut on the move: a renewed understanding of adult migration from a coastwide tagging study. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 70(4): 642-653. doi:10.1139/cjfas-2012-0371.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This updated document was developed by Dr. David Wilson, Dr. Josep Planas, Dr. Ian Stewart, Dr. Allan Hicks, Dr. Ray Webster, and Dr. Basia Hutniczak, in collaboration with other current members of the IPHC Secretariat and under advice of the IPHC Scientific Review Board.

APPENDICES

- [Appendix I:](#) Outcomes of IPHC-2023-5YPIRM
- [Appendix II:](#) External funding received by the IPHC
- [Appendix III:](#) Publications arising
- [Appendix IV:](#) List of ranked research priorities for stock assessment
- [Appendix V:](#) List of ranked research priorities for management strategy evaluation



APPENDIX I OUTCOMES OF THE IPHC-2023-5YPIRM

1. Biology and Ecology

A. Outcomes by Research Area:

1. Migration and Population Dynamics

- 1.1. Development and application of genomic approaches. Planned research outcomes: generation of genomic resources for Pacific halibut that will support genomic research.

Main results:

- Sequencing of the Pacific halibut genome.
- Generation of a high-quality chromosome-level genome assembly for Pacific halibut and full characterisation of the genome
- Complete sequencing and annotation of the Pacific halibut genome into a publicly available online resource
- Identification of the sex determining region of the Pacific halibut genome in Chromosome 9.
- Successful mapping of single nucleotide polymorphisms used for genetic sexing into the sex determining region of the Pacific halibut genome.
- Generation of tissue-specific transcriptomes and combined transcriptome for Pacific halibut. Identification of tissue-specific transcriptomic characteristics.

- 1.2. Population genomic studies. Planned research outcomes: delineation of population structure within Convention Waters.

Main results:

- Application of low-coverage whole-genome resequencing to screen genomic variation at very high resolution.
- Development of a bioinformatic platform to process and analyse high-throughput whole genome sequencing data.
- Establishment of a baseline of genetic diversity by whole genome resequencing of genetic samples from spawning individuals collected from the main five spawning areas within Convention Waters.
- Lack of evidence for population structure, as evidenced by the inability of high-resolution genomics techniques to identify discrete genetic groups.
- Low ability to assign individuals back to the location in which they were sampled.
- Lack of population structure supports the modeling of the Pacific halibut stock as a single coastwide stock



- 1.3. Environmental influences on Pacific halibut distribution. Planned research outcomes: relationship between Pacific halibut distribution and environmental variables.

Main results:

- Establishment of baseline environmental data for Pacific halibut habitat for older juvenile and adult individuals in different Biological Regions.
- Application of environmental profiler data in spatio-temporal modeling.
- Identification of changes in Pacific halibut density and distribution of Pacific halibut in Biological Region 2 associated with low near-bottom dissolved oxygen levels. These hypoxic events are the result of seasonal upwelling.

Publications:

- Jasonowicz, A.J., Simeon, A., Zahm, M., Cabau, C., Klopp, C., Roques, C., Iampietro, C., Lluch, J., Donnadieu, C., Parrinello, H., Drinan, D. P., Hauser, L., Guiguen, Y., Planas, J.V. Generation of a chromosome-level genome assembly for Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) and characterization of its sex-determining genomic region. *Molecular Ecology Resources*. 2022. 22: 2685–2700. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13641>.
- Jasonowicz, A.J., Simchick, C., Planas, J. V. Tissue-specific and reference transcriptomes for Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*). 2025. In Preparation.
- Jasonowicz, A.J., Simchick, C., Dawson, L., Spies, I., Larson, W., Planas, J.V. Genomic support for a single stock of Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) in the Northeastern Pacific Ocean. 2025. In Preparation.
- Planas, J.V., Rooper, C.N., Kruse, G.H. Integrating biological research, fisheries science and management of Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) across the North Pacific Ocean. *Fisheries Research*. 2023. 259: 106559. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2022.106559>.
- Sadorus, L.L., Webster, R.A. and Sullivan, M.E. Environmental conditions on the Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) fishing grounds obtained from a decade of coastwide oceanographic monitoring, and the potential application of these data in stock analyses. *Marine and Freshwater Research*. 2024. 75: MF23175. <https://doi.org/10.1071/MF23175>.

Integration with Stock Assessment and MSE: The relevance of research outcomes from activities in this research area for stock assessment is in evaluating the biological support for modeling the Pacific halibut stock as a coastwide stock and in the improvement of estimates of productivity. Research outcomes will be used to generate potential recruitment covariates and to inform minimum spawning biomass targets by Biological Region and represent one of the top three biological inputs into stock assessment. Additionally, current assumptions of stock structure used in the current stock assessment will be tested by these research activities. The relevance of these research outcomes for MSE is in the improvement of the parametrisation of the Operating Model and represent the top ranked biological input into the MSE.

2. Reproduction

- 2.1 Sex ratio of commercial landings. Planned monitoring outcomes: sex ratio information.

Main results:

- Sex ratio information for the 2017-2024 commercial landings.

- 2.2 Histological maturity assessment. Planned research outcomes: updated maturity schedule.



Main results:

- Application of histological ovarian development classification criteria to revise female maturity and establishment of criteria to identify immature versus mature females.
- Successful staging of ovarian samples collected in the FISS from 2022 to 2024.
- Testing of various types of models (i.e. generalised linear models (GLMs) and generalised additive models (GAMs)) to fit maturity data.
- Application of best-fit GAM models to estimate maturity ogives by Biological Region and year.
- Generation of a coastwide maturity ogive using weighed Biological Region ogives for the period 2022-2024.
- Development of a calibration factor between histology- and field (visual)-based maturity estimates.
- Integrate the calibration factor to revise FISS historical maturity data with which to investigate decadal changes in female maturity.
- Description of endocrine parameters that are associated with female developmental stages and identification of potential physiological markers for maturity.
- Collection of samples in the summers of 2023-2025 and fall of 2024 for the development of the fecundity estimation method and for generating the first estimates of fecundity.

Publications:

Fish, T., Wolf, N., Harris, B.P., Planas, J.V. A comprehensive description of oocyte developmental stages in Pacific halibut, *Hippoglossus stenolepis*. *Journal of Fish Biology*. 2020. 97: 1880-1885. doi: [10.1111/jfb.14551](https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.14551).

Fish, T., Wolf, N., Smeltz, T. S., Harris, B. P., and Planas, J. V. Reproductive Biology of Female Pacific Halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) in the Gulf of Alaska. *Frontiers in Marine Science*. 2022. 9:801759. doi: [10.3389/fmars.2022.801759](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.801759).

Simchick, C., Simeon, A., Bolstad, K., Planas, J.V. Endocrine patterns associated with ovarian development in female Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*). *General and Comparative Endocrinology*. 2024. 347: 114425. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2023.114425>

Integration with Stock Assessment and MSE: Research activities in this Research Area aim at providing information on key biological processes related to reproduction in Pacific halibut (maturity and fecundity) and to provide sex ratio information of Pacific halibut commercial landings. The relevance of research outcomes from these activities for stock assessment is in the scaling of Pacific halibut biomass and in the estimation of reference points and fishing intensity. These research outputs will result in a revision of current maturity schedules and will be included as inputs into the stock assessment and represent the most important biological inputs for stock assessment. The relevance of these research outcomes for MSE is in the improvement of the simulation of spawning biomass in the Operating Model.



3. Growth

3.1 Identification of physiological growth markers and their application for growth pattern evaluation.

Planned research outcomes: informative physiological growth markers to monitor somatic growth variation in Pacific halibut.

Main results:

- Transcriptomic profiling by RNA sequencing of white skeletal muscle from juvenile Pacific halibut subjected to temperature-induced growth manipulations.
- Identification of a set of genes that change their expression levels in response to growth suppression and to growth stimulation: growth marker identification.
- Proteomic profiling by LC-MS/MS of white skeletal muscle from juvenile Pacific halibut subjected to temperature-induced growth manipulations.
- Identification of a set of proteins that change their abundance in response to growth suppression and to growth stimulation: growth marker identification.
- Application of putative growth marker genes in the characterisation of somatic growth variation in Pacific halibut juveniles collected in the Eastern Bering Sea by the NMFS Trawl Survey.
- Transcriptomic profiling by RNA sequencing of white skeletal muscle from juvenile Pacific halibut subjected to density- and stress-induced growth manipulations under experimental conditions.

Publications:

Planas, J.V., Jasonowicz, A.J., Simeon, A., Simchick, C., Timmins-Schiffman, E., Nunn, B.L., Kroska, A.C., Wolf, N., and Hurst, T.P. Molecular mechanisms underlying thermally induced growth plasticity in juvenile Pacific halibut. *Journal of Experimental Biology*. 2025. 228 (19): jeb251013. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.251013>.

Integration with Stock Assessment and MSE: Research activities conducted in this Research Area aim at providing information on somatic growth processes driving size-at-age in Pacific halibut. The relevance of research outcomes from these activities for stock assessment resides, first, in their ability to inform yield-per-recruit and other spatial evaluations for productivity that support mortality limit-setting, and second, in that they may provide covariates for projecting short-term size-at-age and may help delineate between fishery and environmental effects, thereby informing appropriate management responses. The relevance of these research outcomes for MSE is in the improvement of the simulation of variability and to allow for scenarios investigating climate change.

4. Mortality and Survival Assessment

4.1 Discard mortality rate estimation in the longline Pacific halibut fishery. Planned research outcomes: full characterisation of discarded Pacific halibut in the longline fishery.

Main results:

- Hook release methods strongly influence the viability category assigned to discarded Pacific halibut in the longline fishery, with careful shaking and gangion cutting resulting in >75% of fish being assigned to the excellent viability category.
- The use of the hook stripper results in >85% of the fish being classified in the moderate and poor viability categories, and sustained injuries of medium and high severity particularly among



smaller fish. These results support minimising the use of hook strippers in non-directed fisheries to optimise survival of discarded Pacific halibut.

- High lactate plasma levels and low hematocrit were characteristic of fish assigned to the dead viability category, and were attributed to sand flea intrusion.
- Reducing the use of hook strippers and limiting soak times in areas of known sand flea activity are likely to improve viability outcomes of Pacific halibut released from commercial longline gear.

Publications:

Dykstra, C., Wolf, N., Harris, B.P., Stewart, I.J., Hicks, A., Restrepo, F., Planas, J.V. Relating capture and physiological conditions to viability and survival of Pacific halibut discarded from commercial longline gear. *Ocean & Coastal Management*. 2024. 249: 107018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2024.107018>.

4.2 Discard mortality rate estimation in the guided recreational Pacific halibut fishery. Planned research outcomes: experimentally-derived discard mortality rate, full characterisation of discarded Pacific halibut and assessment of best handling practices.

Main results:

- The mortality rate estimated from Pacific halibut captured and released in excellent viability category is 1.35%.
- The size of circle hooks (12/0 and 16/0) does not affect the size of the catch nor the types of injuries incurred by captured fish, with torn cheek being the predominant injury for both hook sizes.
- The levels of stress indicators in the blood (glucose and lactated, and cortisol to a lesser extent) increase with fight time.
- Our results on the low level of mortality associated with the release of Pacific halibut in excellent viability category is consistent with current discard mortality estimates.

Publications:

Dykstra, C.L., Wolf, N., Harris, B.D., Stewart, I.J., Hicks, A., Planas, J.V. Discard mortality rates of recreationally caught Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*). 2026. In Preparation for submission to *Fisheries Management and Ecology*.

Integration with Stock Assessment and MSE: The relevance of research outcomes from these activities for stock assessment resides in their ability to accurately capture trends in unobserved mortality in order to improve estimates of stock productivity and represent the most important inputs in fishery yield for stock assessment. The relevance of these research outcomes for MSE is in fishery parametrisation



5. Fishing Technology

5.1 Investigations on new methods for whale avoidance and/or deterrence for the reduction of Pacific halibut depredation by whales (e.g. catch protection methods). Planned research outcomes: information on feasibility, and performance of catch protection devices.

Main results:

- A virtual International Workshop ([link](#)) was organised in 2022 on protecting fishery catches from whale depredation with industry (affected fishers, gear manufacturers), gear researchers and scientists to identify methods to protect fishery catches from depredation.
- Development of two catch protection designs stemming from the outcomes of the International Workshop into functional prototypes.
- Successful initial testing of two selected catch protection devices (underwater shuttle and branch gear with sliding shroud system) in the field.
- As a catch protection device, the shuttle is a safe and effective gear type that entrained comparable quantities, sizes and types of fish as control (i.e. longline) gear.
- Additional testing in the presence of whales was conducted in May of 2025.

5.2 Investigate physiological and behavioral responses of Pacific halibut to fishing gear in order to reduce bycatch. Planned research outcomes: effective ways to reduce Pacific halibut bycatch and bycatch of non-targeted species.

Main results:

- Hook size did not significantly affect the catch efficiency of Pacific halibut or yelloweye rockfish.
- Circle hooks with a 45° appendage angle caught fewer yelloweye rockfish than hooks without an appendage, irrespective of hook size, and did not affect the catch efficiency of Pacific halibut.
- Hook appendages could have potential use in reducing catch rates on yelloweye rockfish in Pacific halibut longline fisheries.

Publications:

Lomeli, M.J.M., Wakefield, W.W., Abele, M., Dykstra, C.L., Herrmann, B., Stewart, I.J., and G.C. Christie. Testing of hook sizes and appendages to reduce yelloweye rockfish bycatch in a Pacific halibut longline fishery. *Ocean & Coastal Management*. 2023. 241: 106664. <https://doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2023.106664>.

Heppell, D.S., Lomeli, M.J.M., Wakefield W.W., Herrmann, B., Dykstra, C.L., and Stewart, I.J. Hook modification to reduce rockfish and Pacific spiny dogfish bycatch in the U.S. West Coast Pacific halibut longline fishery. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*. 2026. 36: 38. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-026-10042-7>.

Integration with Stock Assessment and MSE: The relevance of research outcomes from these activities for stock assessment resides in the improvement of mortality accounting through a reduction of depredation mortality, thereby increasing the available yield for directed fisheries. Depredation mortality can also be included as another explicit source of mortality in the stock assessment and mortality limit setting process, depending on the estimated magnitude.



APPENDIX II
EXTERNAL FUNDING RECEIVED BY THE IPHC

Project #	Grant agency	Project name	PI	Partners	IPHC Budget (\$US)	Management implications	Grant period
1	Saltonstall-Kennedy NOAA	Improving discard mortality rate estimates in the Pacific halibut by integrating handling practices, physiological condition and post-release survival (NOAA Award No. NA17NMF4270240)	IPHC	Alaska Pacific University	\$286,121	Bycatch estimates	September 2017 – August 2020
2	North Pacific Research Board	Somatic growth processes in the Pacific halibut (<i>Hippoglossus stenolepis</i>) and their response to temperature, density and stress manipulation effects (NPRB Award No. 1704)	IPHC	AFSC-NOAA-Newport, OR	\$131,891	Changes in biomass/size-at-age	September 2017 – February 2020
3	Bycatch Reduction Engineering Program - NOAA	Adapting Towed Array Hydrophones to Support Information Sharing Networks to Reduce Interactions Between Sperm Whales and Longline Gear in Alaska	Alaska Longline Fishing Association	IPHC, University of Alaska Southeast, AFSC-NOAA	-	Whale Depredation	September 2018 – August 2019
4	Bycatch Reduction Engineering Program - NOAA	Use of LEDs to reduce Pacific halibut catches before trawl entrapment	Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission	IPHC, NMFS	-	Bycatch reduction	September 2018 – August 2019
5	National Fish & Wildlife Foundation	Improving the characterisation of discard mortality of Pacific halibut in the recreational fisheries (NFWF Award No. 61484)	IPHC	Alaska Pacific University, U of A Fairbanks, charter industry	\$98,902	Bycatch estimates	April 2019 – November 2021
6	North Pacific Research Board	Pacific halibut discard mortality rates (NPRB Award No. 2009)	IPHC	Alaska Pacific University,	\$210,502	Bycatch estimates	January 2021 – March 2022
7	Bycatch Reduction Engineering Program - NOAA	Gear-based approaches to catch protection as a means for minimising whale depredation in longline fisheries (NA21NMF4720534)	IPHC	Deep Sea Fishermen's Union, Alaska Fisheries Science Center-NOAA, industry representatives	\$99,700	Mortality estimations due to whale depredation	November 2021 – October 2022
8	North Pacific Research Board	Pacific halibut population genomics (NPRB Award No. 2110)	IPHC	Alaska Fisheries Science Center-NOAA	\$193,685	Stock structure	December 2021- January 2024



INTERNATIONAL PACIFIC
HALIBUT COMMISSION

IPHC Integrated Research and Monitoring Plan

9	Bycatch Reduction Engineering Program - NOAA	Full scale testing of devices to minimize whale depredation in longline fisheries (NA23NMF4720414)	IPHC	NOAA Fisheries -Alaska Fisheries Science Center (Seattle)	\$199,870	Mortality estimations due to whale depredation	November 2023 – April 2026
10	Alaska Sea Grant	Development of a non-lethal genetic-based method for aging Pacific halibut (R/2024-05)	IPHC, Alaska Pacific Univ. (APU)	Alaska Fisheries Science Center-NOAA (Juneau)	\$60,374	Stock structure	January 2025- December 2026
Total awarded (\$)					\$1,281,045		



APPENDIX III PUBLICATIONS ARISING

2020:

- Fish, T., Wolf, N., Harris, B.P., Planas, J.V. A comprehensive description of oocyte developmental stages in Pacific halibut, *Hippoglossus stenolepis*. *Journal of Fish Biology*. 2020. 97: 1880-1885. [https://doi:10.1111/jfb.14551](https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.14551).
- Stewart, I.J., Hicks, A.C., and Carpi, P. 2021. Fully subscribed: Evaluating yield trade-offs among fishery sectors utilizing the Pacific halibut resource. *Fisheries Research* **234**. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2020.105800.
- Webster, R.A., Soderlund, E., Dykstra, C.L., and Stewart, I.J. 2020. Monitoring change in a dynamic environment: spatio-temporal modelling of calibrated data from different types of fisheries surveys of Pacific halibut. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **77**: 1421–1432.
- Forrest, R.E., Stewart, I.J., Monnahan, C.C., Bannar-Martin, K.H., and Lacko, L.C. 2020. Evidence for rapid avoidance of rockfish habitat under reduced quota and comprehensive at-sea monitoring in the British Columbia Pacific halibut fishery. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **77**: 1409–1420.

2021:

- Carpi, P., Loher, T., Sadorus, L., Forsberg, J., Webster, R., Planas, J.V., Jasonowicz, A., Stewart, I. J., Hicks, A. C. Ontogenetic and spawning migration of Pacific halibut: a review. *Rev Fish Biol Fisheries*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-021-09672-w>.
- Kroska, A.C., Wolf, N., Planas, J.V., Baker, M.R., Smeltz, T.S., Harris, B.P. Controlled experiments to explore the use of a multi-tissue approach to characterizing stress in wild-caught Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*). *Conservation Physiology* 2021. 9(1):coab001. <https://doi.org/10.1093/conphys/coab001>.
- Loher, T., Bath, G. E., Wischniowsky, S. The potential utility of otolith microchemistry as an indicator of nursery origins in Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) in the eastern Pacific: the importance of scale and geographic trending. *Fisheries Research*. 2021. 243: 106072. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2021.106072>.
- Lomeli, M.J.M., Wakefield, W.W., Herrmann, B., Dykstra, C.L., Simeon, A., Rudy, D.M., Planas, J.V. Use of Artificial Illumination to Reduce Pacific Halibut Bycatch in a U.S. West Coast Groundfish Bottom Trawl. *Fisheries Research*. 2021. 233: 105737. doi: [10.1016/j.fishres.2020.105737](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2020.105737).
- Sadorus, L., Goldstein, E., Webster, R., Stockhausen, W., Planas, J.V., Duffy-Anderson, J. Multiple life-stage connectivity of Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) across the Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska. *Fisheries Oceanography*. 2021. 30:174-193. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/fog.12512>.
- Stewart, I.J., Scordino, J.J., Petersen, J.R., Wise, A.W., Svec, C.I., Buttram, R.H., Monette, J.L., Gonzales, M.R., Svec, R., Scordino, J., Butterfield, K., Parker, W., and Buzzell, L.A. 2021. Out with the new and in with the old: reviving a traditional Makah halibut hook for modern fisheries management challenges. *Fisheries* **46**(7): 313–320. doi:10.1002/fsh.10603.

2022:

- Fish, T., Wolf, N., Smeltz, T. S., Harris, B. P., and Planas, J. V. Reproductive Biology of Female Pacific Halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) in the Gulf of Alaska. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 2022. 9:801759. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2022.801759.



Jasonowicz, A.C., Simeon, A., Zahm, M., Cabau, C., Klopp, C., Roques, C., Iampietro, C., Lluch, J., Donnadieu, C., Parrinello, H., Drinan, D.P., Hauser, L., Guiguen, Y., Planas, J.V. Generation of a chromosome-level genome assembly for Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) and characterization of its sex-determining genomic region. *Molecular Ecology Resources*. 2022. 22: 2685–2700. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13641>.

Loher, T., McCarthy, O., Sadorus, L.L., Erikson, L.M., Simeon, A., Drinan, D.P., Hauser, L., Planas, J.V., and Stewart, I.J. 2022. A Test of Deriving Sex-Composition Data for the Directed Pacific Halibut Fishery via At-Sea Marking. *Marine and Coastal Fisheries* **14**(4). doi:10.1002/mcf2.10218.

Loher, T., Dykstra, C.L., Hicks, A., Stewart, I.J., Wolf, N., Harris, B.P., Planas, J.V. Estimation of post release longline mortality in Pacific halibut using acceleration-logging tags. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management*. 2022. 42: 37-49. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/nafm.10711>.

2023:

Lomeli, M.J.M., Wakefield, W.W., Abele, M., Dykstra, C.L., Herrmann, B., Stewart, I.J., and G.C. Christie. Testing of hook sizes and appendages to reduce yelloweye rockfish bycatch in a Pacific halibut longline fishery. *Ocean & Coastal Management*. 2023. 241: 106664. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2023.106664>.

Planas, J.V., Rooper, C.N., Kruse, G.H. Integrating biological research, fisheries science and management of Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) across the North Pacific Ocean. *Fisheries Research*. 2023. 259: 106559. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2022.106559>.

2024:

Dykstra, C., Wolf, N., Harris, B.P., Stewart, I.J., Hicks, A., Restrepo, F., Planas, J.V. Relating capture and physiological conditions to viability and survival of Pacific halibut discarded from commercial longline gear. *Ocean & Coastal Management*. 2024. 249: 107018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2024.107018>.

Hutniczak, B., Wilson, D.T., Stewart, I.J., and Hicks, A.C. 2024. A hundred years of Pacific halibut management in the context of global events and trends in fisheries management. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **11**. doi:10.3389/fmars.2024.1424002.

Sadorus, L.L., Webster, R.A. and Sullivan, M.E. Environmental conditions on the Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) fishing grounds obtained from a decade of coastwide oceanographic monitoring, and the potential application of these data in stock analyses. *Marine and Freshwater Research*. 2024. 75: MF23175. <https://doi.org/10.1071/MF23175>

Simchick, C., Simeon, A., Bolstad, K., Planas, J.V. Endocrine patterns associated with ovarian development in female Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*). *General and Comparative Endocrinology*. 2024. 347: 114425. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2023.114425>

2025:

Adams, G.D., Holsman, K., Rovellini, A., Stewart, I.J., Privitera-Johnson, K., Wassermann, S.N., and Punt, A.E. 2025. Implications of predator–prey dynamics for single species management. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **82**: 1–19. doi:10.1139/cjfas-2024-0225.

Planas JV, Jasonowicz AJ, Simeon A, Simchick C, Timmins-Schiffman E, Nunn BL, Kroska AC, Wolf N, Hurst TP. Molecular mechanisms underlying thermally induced growth plasticity in juvenile Pacific halibut. *Journal of Experimental Biology*. 2025. 228 (19): jeb-251013. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.251013>



Ritchie, BA, Smeltz, TS, Stewart, IJ, Harris, BP, and N. Wolf. 2025. Exploring Spatial and Temporal Patterns in the Size-At-Age of Pacific Halibut in the Gulf of Alaska. Fisheries Management and Ecology. doi:10.1111/fme.12814.

Stewart, I.J., and Monnahan, C.C. 2025. Diagnosing common sources of lack of fit to composition data in fisheries stock assessment models using One-Step-Ahead (OSA) residuals. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2025-0158>.

2026:

Heppell, D.S., Lomeli, M.J.M., Wakefield, W.W., Herrmann, B., Dykstra, C.L., and Stewart, I.J. 2026. Hook modification to reduce rockfish and Pacific spiny dogfish bycatch in the U.S. West Coast Pacific halibut longline fishery. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries **36**(1). doi:10.1007/s11160-026-10042-7.

Submitted peer-reviewed journal papers – In review

Larson, S., Lowry, D., Andrews, K., Dykstra, C.L., Juanes, F., Timmer, B., and May, S. Molecular relatedness-based analyses reveal breeding site philopatry in female bluntnose sixgill sharks (*Hexanchus griseus*). Frontiers of Marine Science.

McGilliard, C.R., Ianelli, J., Cunningham, C., Hicks, A., Hanselman, D., Stram, D., Henry, A. Evaluating Bering Sea Pacific halibut bycatch management options using closed-loop simulations in a dynamic, multi-agency setting. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences.



APPENDIX IV

LIST OF RANKED RESEARCH PRIORITIES FOR STOCK ASSESSMENT

Research priorities for the Pacific halibut stock assessment are delineated into three broad categories: improvements in basic biological understanding (including fishery dynamics), investigation of existing data series and collection of new information, and technical development of models and modelling approaches. The highest priority items in each of these categories are highlighted in the 5YPIRM and are expected to be the primary focus of ongoing efforts. However, it is helpful to maintain a longer list of items to inform future prioritization, to create a record of data and research needs, and to foster opportunistic and/or collaborative work on these topics when possible.

Biological understanding and fishery yield:

- *Highest priority:* Updating the fecundity-weight relationship and the presence and/or rate of skip spawning.
- *Highest priority:* The relative role of potential factors underlying changes in size-at-age is not currently understood. Delineating between competition, density dependence, environmental effects, size-selective fishing and other factors could allow improved prediction of size-at-age under future conditions.
- Movement rates among Biological Regions at the adult, juvenile and larval stages remain uncertain and likely variable over time. Long-term research to inform these rates could lead to a spatially explicit stock assessment model for future inclusion into the ensemble.
- Improved understanding of recruitment processes and larval dynamics could lead to covariates explaining more or the residual variability about the stock-recruit relationship than is currently accounted for via the binary indicator used for the Pacific Decadal Oscillation.

Potential projects relating to existing and new data sources that could benefit the Pacific halibut stock assessment:

- *Highest priority:* Continued collection of sex-ratio from the commercial landings will provide valuable information for determining relative selectivity of males and females, and therefore the scale of the estimated spawning biomass, and the level of fishing intensity as measured by SPR.
- *Highest priority:* Evaluation of the magnitude of marine mammal depredation and tools to reduce it.
- A space-time model could be used to calculate weighted FISS and/or commercial fishery age-composition data. This might alleviate some of the lack of fit to existing data sets that is occurring not because of model misspecification but because of incomplete spatial coverage in the annual FISS sampling which is accounted for in the generation of the index, but not in the standardization of the composition information.
- The work of Monnahan and Stewart (2015) modelling commercial fishery catch rates could be used to provide a standardized fishery index for the recent time-series that would be analogous to the space-time model used for the FISS.
- There is a vast quantity of archived historical data that is currently inaccessible until organized, electronically entered, and formatted into the IPHC's database with appropriate meta-data. Information



on historical fishery landings, effort, and age samples would provide a much clearer (and more reproducible) perception of the historical period.

- Additional efforts could be made to reconstruct estimates of subsistence harvest prior to 1991.
- Discard mortality estimates for the IPhC Regulatory Area 2B recreational fishery are currently unavailable, but there is an estimation system in place. Further work to develop these estimates would be preferable to the use of proxy rates from IPhC Regulatory Area 2C.
- NMFS observer data from the directed Pacific halibut fleet in Alaska could be evaluated for use in updating discard mortality rates and the age-distributions for discard mortality. This may be more feasible if observer coverage is increased and if smaller vessels (< 40 feet LOA, 12.2 m) are observed in the future. Post-stratification and investigation of observed vs. unobserved fishing behavior may be required.
- Historical bycatch length frequencies and mortality estimates should be reanalyzed accounting for sampling rates in target fisheries and evaluating data quality over the historical period.
- There are currently no comprehensive variance estimates for the sources of mortality used in the assessment models. In some cases, variance due to sampling and perhaps even non-sampling sources could be quantified and used as inputs to the models via scaling parameters or even alternative models in the ensemble.

Technical explorations and improvements that could benefit the stock assessment models and ensemble framework:

- *Highest priority:* Maintaining consistency and coordination between MSE, and stock assessment data, modelling and methodology.
- *Highest priority:* Exploration of state-space models for Pacific halibut allowing for direct estimation of the variance in time-varying processes.
- *Highest priority:* Continued exploration into the estimation of M in the short coastwide model.
- Continued refinement of the ensemble of models used in the stock assessment. This may include investigation of alternative approaches to modelling selectivity that would reduce relative down-weighting of certain data sources (see section above), evaluation of additional axis of uncertainty (e.g., steepness, as explored above), or others.
- Exploration of methods for better including uncertainty in directed and non-directed discard mortalities in the assessment (now evaluated only via alternative mortality projection tables or model sensitivity tests) in order to better include these sources uncertainty in the decision table. These could include explicit discard/retention relationships, including uncertainty in discard mortality rates, and allow for some uncertainty directly in the magnitude of mortality for these sources.
- Bayesian methods for fully integrating parameter uncertainty may provide improved uncertainty estimates within the models contributing to the assessment, and a more natural approach for combining the individual models in the ensemble (see section above).



- Alternative model structures, including a growth-explicit statistical catch-at-age approach and a spatially explicit approach may provide avenues for future exploration. Efforts to develop these approaches thus far have been challenging due to the technical complexity and data requirements of both. Previous reviews have indicated that such efforts may be more tractable in the context of operating models for the MSE, where conditioning to historical data may be much more easily achieved than fully fitting an assessment model to all data sources for use in tactical management decision making.



Summary table of top ranked biological research priorities for stock assessment (SA)

SA Rank	Research outcomes	Relevance for SA	Specific analysis input	Research Area	Research activities
1. Biological input	Fecundity-at-age and -size information	Scale biomass and reference point estimates	Will be used to move from spawning biomass to egg-output as the metric of reproductive capability in the stock assessment and management reference points	Reproduction	Fecundity assessment
	Incidence of skip spawning		Will be used to adjust the asymptote of the maturity schedule, if/when a time-series is available this will be used as a direct input to the stock assessment		Examination of potential skip spawning
	Revised temporal and spatial maturity schedules		Will be used to revise the maturity schedule used in stock assessment		Continued temporal and spatial analysis of female histology-based maturity-at-age estimates
	Revised field maturity classification		Revised time-series of historical (and future) maturity for input to the stock assessment		Examination of accuracy of current field macroscopic maturity classification
2. Biological input	Understanding the role of factors driving size-at-age	Improve estimates of biomass and fishery yield	Will be used to identify contributors to historical trends in biomass and fishery yield	Growth and size-at-age	Studies on growth and size-at-age
1. Assessment data collection and processing	Sex ratio-at-age	Scale biomass and fishing intensity	Annual sex-ratio at age for the commercial fishery fit by the stock assessment	Reproduction	Sex ratio of current commercial landings
	Historical sex ratio-at-age		Annual sex-ratio at age for the commercial fishery fit by the stock assessment		Historical sex ratios based on archived otolith DNA analyses
2. Assessment data collection and processing	New tools for fishery avoidance and/or reduction of depredation	Improve mortality accounting	May reduce depredation mortality, thereby increasing available yield for directed fisheries. May also be included as another explicit source of mortality in the stock assessment and mortality limit setting process depending on the estimated magnitude	Fishing innovations	Whale depredation accounting and tools for avoidance



APPENDIX V

LIST OF RANKED RESEARCH PRIORITIES FOR MANAGEMENT STRATEGY EVALUATION

Summary table of top ranked biological research priorities for management strategy evaluation (MSE)

MSE Rank	Research outcomes	Relevance for MSE	Research Area	Research activities
1. Biological parameterization: Movement and distribution of life stages	Improved understanding of larval and juvenile distribution	Improve parametrization of the Operating Model and provide justification for parametrising temporal variability. Assist with conditioning the OM, very patterns simulated from the OM, and provide information to develop reasonable sensitivity scenarios to test the robustness of MPs	Migration and population dynamics	Larval and juvenile connectivity studies
	Ontogenetic movement and resulting adult distribution			Population structure and dynamics
	Genomic analysis of population size and connectivity			Genomic and Close-Kin Mark-Recapture studies (Horizon Scan)
2. Biological parameterization: spatial spawning patterns and connectivity between spawning populations	Information on spatial heterogeneity in the Pacific halibut population	Information on spatial heterogeneity can be incorporated directly into the OM, and/or into an objective to maintain spatial heterogeneity.	Reproduction	Population structure and dynamics
	Information on temporal and spatial maturity and spawning patterns	Improve simulation of recruitment variability and parametrization of recruitment distribution in the Operating Model		Temporal and spatial analyses of maturity and spawning activity
3. Biological parameterization of growth variation	Environmental and ecological influences on growth patterns	Improve simulation of variability in weight-at-age and allow for scenarios investigating influence of population size or environmental factors	Growth and size-at-age	Evaluation of somatic growth variation as a driver for changes in size-at-age